

URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

ADAMS COUNTY

CITY OF THORNTON

720 South Colorado Boulevard Suite 410 S Denver, Colorado 80246 phone (303) 757-3655 fax (303) 300-1635



October 15, 2007

Mr. Ben Urbonas, P.E. Urban Drainage & Flood Control District 2480 West 26th Avenue, Suite 156-B Denver, Colorado 80211

RE: Flood Hazard Area Delineation - Hoffman Drainageway

Dear Mr. Urbonas:

Enclosed is the Flood Hazard Area Delineation (FHAD) Report for the Hoffman Drainageway. This report documents the FHAD study process from initiation through completion of the final floodplain and floodway delineations. A summary of the project history, description of the study area, field inventory of hydraulic structures, summary of hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, HEC-RAS water surface profile computer modeling results for the 10-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year storm events, and determination of the 0.5 foot and 1.0 foot floodways are provided in this report.

The floodplain and floodway information provided herein should assist the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District and other project sponsors in updating the FEMA floodplain boundaries for Hoffman Drainageway to reflect the current watershed conditions and in administration of new and existing development in the areas prone to flooding.

We appreciate the opportunity to prepare this analysis and look forward to working with you on future projects.

Respectfully Submitted,

Moser & Associates Engineering, Inc.

David Delagarza, E.I. Project Engineer

Tille la Ba Suesa SPattuson Phh M.Ma

Teresa Patterson, P.E. Project Manager

Rick R. Moser, P.E. Principal-In-Charge

	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	Authorization1-1Purpose and Scope1-1Planning Process1-1Mapping and Surveys1-1Data Collection1-2Acknowledgements1-2	1 1 2 2
Section 2	Stuc	ly Area2-1	ł
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	Project Area.2-1Land Use2-1Outfall Description2-3Previous Studies and Flood History2-5Wetland and Riparian Zones2-5Flora, Fauna and Threatened or Endangered Species2-5	3555
Section 3	Hyd	rologic Analysis3-1	I
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Overview3-1Design Rainfall3-1Subwatershed Characteristics3-2Hydrograph Routing3-2Results of Analysis3-2Previous Studies3-3	223
Section 4	Hyd	raulic Analysis4-1	
	4.1 4.2	Evaluation of Existing Facilities	1

Introduction.....1-1

Section 1

Section 5

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Fully-Developed Land-Use	2-1
Table 3-1	Incremental Rainfall Depths	
Table 3-2	Comparison of 100-Year Peak Flow to Other Studies	3-3
Table 4-1	Structure Capacity Table	4-3
	1 5	

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Study Area Map	2-2
Figure 2-2	Conveyance Overview	2-4
Figure 2-3	Flood Insurance Rate Map Limits	2-6
Figure 4-1	Conveyance Schematic	4-2

APPENDICES

	•					
Appendix A	Meeting Minutes Hydrologic Analysis					
Appendix B						
	EPA SWMM Table B-1 Table B-2 Figure B-1 Figure B-2 Figure B-3 Figure B-3 Figure B-4 Figure B-5 Chart B-1 Chart B-2 Chart B-3 Mannings "n	M Input File Subwatershed Characteristic Peak Flow Summary Imperviousness Map Subwatershed Boundaries Soils Map EPA SWMM Routing EPA SWMM Routing Sche Peak Flow Profile for Hoffr Peak Flow Profile for Hoffr 100-Year Hydrograph, Base				
Appendix C	Hydraulic HEC-RAS (Structure Hy Drainge Stru Structure Se	C Analysis Dutput 7draulic Analysis Details Tabl 1cture Photos ctions				
Appendix D	Floodplai	n and Floodway Data				

Appendix D	Floodplain	and	Flood

Appendix E	Flood Map	
------------	-----------	--

Appendix F Flood Profiles

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING **FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION**

ics

ematics man Drainageway Mainstem Eman Drainageway Rainbow Split seline Hydrograph

ole

way Data Table

AUTHORIZATION 1.1

On July 11, 2006 the Urban Drainage and Flood Control D istrict (District), in joint sponsorship with the City of Thornton and Adams County, contracted with Moser & Associates Engineering for the provisions of engineering services for a Major Drainageway Planning and Flood Hazard Area Delineation (FHAD) Study for the Hoffman Drainageway watershed. Specific hydrologic analysis tasks were performed in accordance with Agreement Number 06-04.05.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE 1.2

The scope of this FHAD study is as follows:

- Gather and assemble information on the existing drainage system including hydraulic structures, 1. channel characteristics, and topographic information
- Define the water surface prof ile and flood boundaries for the 10, 50, 100, and 500-year flood 2. events and also for the 0.5 foot and 1.0 foot floodways.
- 3. Prepare plan and profile drawings of the Hoffm an Drainageway showing the lim its of the 100year floodplain and the 10-year and 100-year flood profile.
- 4 Document the study results in the FHAD Report.

The study area is approxim ately 1.8 square m iles loca ted within the City of Thornton and portions of unincorporated Adams County. The study are boundary is generally defined on the west by Grant Street, the north by East Thornton Parkwa y, the east by the S outh Platte River, and the south by Coronado Parkway.

Three addenda have been issued for this contract. Addendum 1 was executed to expand the study area to include land affected by flood flow splits outside of the m ain Hoffman Drainageway. Addendum 2 was executed to obtain additional survey information near structures and along FHAD c ross sections to better r define the floodplain delineations for the FHAD. A ddendum 3 was executed to perform a more detailed analysis of several localized areas of concern.

PLANNING PROCESS 1.3

In the beginning, the project sponsors expressed two primary goals: determine the actual path of floodwater and propose im provements to safely convey the fl oodwater to m inimize the flooding im pacts to the structures in the watershed. The watershed had been studied in the past, but a FEMA detailed study for the entire watershed had not been completed. T he project sponsors felt it was im portant to address the watershed as a whole and prioritize the improvements.

Early in the FHAD process, it was discovered that the Hoffm an Drainageway did not contain flood flows within its existing infrastructure which resulted in multiple flood flow splits. Because of this, the watershed study area was expanded to consider additional storm runoff through the split flow areas.

Two public open houses were held on Septem ber 11, 2006 and July 19, 2007. A m ailing was sent to all property owners within the watershed to attend the first meeting to provide insight into issues in the project area and to offer input for the study. Ten (10) residents and representatives attended the first open house. Postcards were s ent to all p roperty owners whose properties are with in or ad jacent to an existing or new floodplain. Eleven (11) residents and representatives attended the second open house.

The "Hoffm an Drainageway Baseline Hydrology Re port" was published in Septem ber 2006 which summarized the results for existing fully-developed land-use condition hydrologic analysis for the Major Drainageway Study and the FHAD. This report was accepted by the project sponsors and provided the hydrology for the Flood Hazard Area Delineation.

This Flood Hazard Area Delineation Report describes the Study Area, provides results of the hydrologic analysis and documents the findings of the hydraulic analysis for the floodplain and floodway delineations.

1.4 MAPPING AND SURVEYS

The District supplied m apping (2-foot contours) for the original c ontract study lim its as AutoCAD electronic files. Adam s County supplied 2-foot contour mapping to supplement the District's mapping for the expanded watershed area.

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

DATA COLLECTION 1.5

Several sources of data have been collected at this point. These sources include:

- Mapping (2-foot contours) for the study area was supplied by the District as AutoCAD electronic files.
- Supplemental mapping (2-foot contours) was supplied by Adams County as AutoCAD electronic • files for the expanded watershed area.
- Hardcopies of Flood Insurance Study backup HEC-2 files were supplied by FEMA for the detailed floodplain study between 88th Avenue and Devonshire Boulevard.
- As-built survey information for the H.C.C. Subdivision on Steele Street. •
- City of Thornton GIS shape files (August 2006). •
- Adams County storm sewer GIS shape files (August 15, 2006) •
- City of Thornton as-built plans for storm sewer and channel improvements along the watershed. •
- Hardcopy of Muller Engineering Lower Hoffman Drainageway conceptual Design report date May • 14, 1990.
- Hardcopy of Muller Engineering Lower Hoffman Drainageway Preliminary Design Memorandum dated January 30, 2003.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 1.6

The following individuals representing project sponsors have attended the progress meetings and given input to the study.

Ben Urbonas, P.E. Ken MacKenzie, P.E. Pete Brezall Besharah Najjar, P.E. Jessica Stevens, E.I.

The following individuals from Moser & Associates Engineering contributed to this study are listed below.

Rick Moser, P.E.	Principal-In-Cha
Teresa Patterson, P.E.	Project Manager
David Delagarza E.I.	Project Engineer
Lee Draeger, E.I.	Engineer
Robert Mitchell	Technician
Tony Tran	Technician
Amy Tiegen	Technician
Stephanie Titus	Technician
Sage Cabrera	Technician

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING **FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION**

Project Director, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Project Manager, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Project Manager of Infrastructure Engineering, City of Thornton Engineering Manager, Adams County Drainage Engineer, Adams County

arge

PROJECT AREA 2.1

The project area consists of the Hoff man Drainageway watershed which is located in the City of Thornton and portions of unincorporated Adam s County. The to tal watershed area is 1,161 acres or 1.81 square miles. The study area boundary is generally defined on the west by Grant Street, the north by East Thornton Parkway, the east by the Sout h Platte R iver, and the south by Coronado Parkway. Early in the FHAD process, it was discovered that the Hoffman Drainageway did not contain flood flows within its existing infrastructure which resulted in multiple flood flow splits. Because of this, the watershed study area was expanded to consider additional storm runoff through the split flow areas.

The Hoffman Drainageway starts near the e in tersection of Hoffm an Way and Dorothy Boulevard, runs southeast along Hoffman Way to 88th Avenue, continues southeast through a residential area to Devonshire Boulevard, then follows 86th Avenue easterly to the South Platte River. The drainageway crosses 88th Avenue, the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR), the Colo rado Agricultural Ditch, and the Lower Clear Creek Canal (LCCC). See the Study Area map Figure 2-1.

A Project Reuse Watershed number for Hoffman Drainageway has not been defined.

LAND USE 2.2

The watershed is nearly built out, so only the fully-developed land-use condition is considered for this study. The land-use within the watershed can be broken down into the general land-use categories which are summarized below. The watershed imperviousness is 60.2%.

TABLE 2-1

Fully-Developed Land-Use

Imperviousness	Area				
%	(acres)	(sq mi)			
30	50	0.08			
35	357	0.56			
45	36	0.06			
50	147	0.23			
55	39	0.06			
80	385	0.60			
90	72	0.11			
100	74	0.12			

Land-use information was obtained by sampling random areas of similar densities from the base mapping to calculate the imperviousness values. Additional discussions with the project sponsors were used to determine projected densities for the few areas that have yet to be developed. See Figure B-1 in Appendix B for the Imperviousness Map that illustrates the land-use densities.

There are two soil classifications within the project limits, types A and C and are described in Section 3.3.3.

General watershed parameters include: Highest watershed elevation (approximate) = 5,446Lowest watershed elevation (approximate) = 5,070The average slope of the channel = 0.025 ft/ft Watershed shape (L/W) = 4

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION



SECTION 2 – STUDY AREA

OUTFALL DESCRIPTION 2.3

During the process of developing this report, a num ber of split flow c onditions were identified. This resulted in seven (7) different reaches being used for the purpose of FHAD planning. Figure 2-2 illus trates the locations of the various reaches.

Hoffman Drainage Mainstem and Irrigation Ditch Split

Hoffman Mainstem represents the central flow of runoff through the watershed and is the primary flowpath for the watershed. It may be divided into three distinct subreaches:

- SubReach 1 Along Hoffm an W ay from the upstream FHAD li mit at the intersection of Dorothy Boulevard to 88th Avenue. Hoffm an Way is a divided collector roadway with a landscaped median through a residential area. There is a storm sewer system that generally follows the median.
- 88th Avenue to W elby Road. The existing draina geway infrastructure is a com bination of SubReach 2 open channel, pipe, culverts, and bridges. This reach flows through a residential area with a very small section of industrial land directly adjacent to the UPRR.



Hoffman SubReach 1 – Hoffman Way



Hoffman Mainstem SubReach 2 – 88th Avenue to Rainbow

lower half was recently improved down to the SPR. The Lower Clear Creek Canal cross es the Hoffm an Mainstem imm ediately upstream of the im proved sect ion. The irrigation structure significantly constricts the drainageway at this location, causing runoff to split into the Irrigation Ditch Split.



Hoffman Mainstem SubReach 3 – Channel Along 86th Avenue

Rainbow, Hope and Railroad Splits

Due to an undersized culvert at R ainbow Drive, st ormwater splits from Hoffman Mainstem and flows through an undeveloped property east of Rainbow Drive. Runoff that splits into the Rainbow Split does not return to the Hoffman Mainstem. The Rainbow Split is characterized by open, undeveloped land above Devonshire Boulevard. A shallow channel conveys a sm all volume of flow through this property to Devonshire Blvd. Flows which exceed the capacity of this channel (approximately 140 cfs) break out of the channel to the south, flow to Hope Court and rejoin the Rainbow Split at McElwain Boulevard.

Below Devonshire Boulevard, the Ra inbow Split flows through an undeve loped lot and over the railroad tracks. A sm all ditch on the west side of the tracks carries up to 150 cfs into the Railroad Split along the railroad tracks. The Ra ilroad Split conveys water to the Lower Clear Creek Canal railroad brid ge. The Railroad Split then flows east under this bridge and joins the Industrial Split, discussed below.

SubReach 3 Welby Road to the So uth Platte River (SPR). This reach runs throug h an industrial area. The upper half of this reach is a dilapidated open channel with steep, unstable slopes. The

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION



SECTION 2 – STUDY AREA

Flows from the Rainbow Split which are not diverted into the Railroad Split pass over the railroad tracks and enter a prestressed concrete plant. Within the plant property, these flows split around the primary building. The flows that split to the south become the Industrial Split, which is discussed below. Flows which split around the north side of the building continue along the Rainbow Split over Steele Street. Below Steele Street, the Rainbow Split flows through the corner of a mobile home park and into sparsely developed horse pastures. The Rainbow Split flows into a series of gravel ponds and discharges into the South Platte River.

Industrial and Industrial South Splits

The Industrial Split begins as flows splits around the south side of the m ain building of the prestressed concrete plant. The Industrial Split continues south, paralleling the Railroad through an undeveloped parcel. Runoff in the Industrial Split which is not diverted into the Industrial South Split, discussed below, flows around the north side of the commercial propert y, overtopping a detention pond and Steele Street, and rejoins the Rainbow Split east of Steele Street.

South of the aforementioned undeveloped parcel is a newly developed commercial property (Parrot H.C.C. Subdivision). When the commercial property was constructed, several feet of fill material was added. As a result of the fill m aterial being pl aced in this location, flows from the Industrial Split are divided again, creating the Industrial South Split. A ditch along the ra ilroad tracks carries flow in the Industrial South Split. Water in this split follows the railroad around the west side and the south side of the commercial property to Steele Street. Flows then overtop Steele Street, flow through sparsely developed land and rejoin the Rainbow Split at the gravel lakes.

With the existing topog raphy, there is no way for flows fr om the Rainbow Split to rejo in the Hoffman Drainageway. The Rainbow Split overtops the UPRR and splits and rejoins several times before continuing easterly toward the South Platte River.

The mainstem of Hoffman runs along the south side of 86th Avenue in an open channel. At the LCCC, the at-grade crossing with the irrigation ditch is to o small to release the larger storm events and during the 100-year storm event, water breaks out of the irrigation structure overtopping 86th Avenue. This results in shallow flooding north of 86th Avenue.

According to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), there is a Zone A (approxim ate) floodplain along Hoffman Way. In August 1974 a detailed study of the drainageway between 88th Avenue and Welby Road was completed and a Zone AE floodpl ain was recognized. Downstream of Welby Road, the FIRM panel notes, "500-year flood contained in [the] channel".

According to the current FIRM, a num ber of hous es along Hoffma n W ay and in the Hope Court neighborhood are within a 100-year floodplain. Figure 2-3 illustrates the approximate location of the FIRM effective floodplain and how it compares to the FHAD 100-year floodplain.

The residents in the watershed have reported their experiences with flooding. At the public meeting, several of residents in the Hope Court area relayed their stories of flooding. At the same meeting, two members of the mobile home community southeast of the LCCC and 86th Avenue imparted their stories of being flooded multiple times from backwater up the LCCC.

WETLAND AND RIPARIAN ZONES 2.5

No riparian or wetland vegetation has been observed within the study area with the ex ception of the South Platte River banks at the downstream study limits. Ho wever, a thorough investigation should be perform ed prior to construction.

2.6 FLORA, FAUNA AND THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES

There are no known threatened flora or fauna with in the study area; however a thorough investigation should be performed prior to any construction.

2.4

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

PREVIOUS STUDIES AND FLOOD HISTORY



þwþ

3.1 OVERVIEW

The storm runoff hydrographs and routing for the study were generated using the Environmental Protection Agency's 2006 version of Stormwater Manage ment Model (SW MM) Version 5.0. The physical characteristics for each subwatershed in the SWMM analysis include:

- Drainage area
- Width of the subwatershed •
- Subwatershed slope •
- Percent imperviousness ٠
- Subarea routing •
- Percent routed •
- Soil infiltration rates •
- Surface retention storage values •

The peak flow results from the SWMM m odel were compared to the re sults in the FEMA FIS at various locations. The comparison process is discussed in more detail in Section 3.6

In accordance with Dis trict policy, existing privatel y-owned detention basins we renot inclu ded in the hydrologic models because of the uncertainty associated with their continued ex istence. There are no known publicly-owned and maintained detention basins in the watershed area.

The drainage network is generally comprised of subwatersheds, design points, and open channels.

The "Hoffman Drainageway Baseline Hydrology Report" was published in September of 2006 and the hydrologic calculations were accepted by the Urban Drai nage and Flood Control District on October 11, 2006.

DESIGN RAINFALL 3.2

The 5-m inute increm ental rainfall depths for the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year storm events were gathered from table 9.5 in the Ad ams County Development Standards and Regulations. The EPA SW MM model then distributed the rainfall depths over a 2- hour storm duration. The increm ental rainfall depths used are shown in Table 3-1.

Т	A	В	I]
-			-	

Incremental Rainfall Depths (inches)

Time	Return Period (Year)						
(min)	2	5	10	25	50	100	500
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
10	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.10
15	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.15
20	0.16	0.22	0.25	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.26
25	0.25	0.36	0.42	0.30	0.35	0.38	0.46
30	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.50	0.59	0.68	0.82
35	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.24	0.28	0.38	0.46
40	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.26
45	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.20
50	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.16
55	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.13
60	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.13
65	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.13
70	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07
75	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07
80	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
85	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
90	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
95	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
100	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
105	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
110	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
115	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
120	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
1-Hour Point Rainfall (inches)	1.00	1.42	1.68	2.01	2.35	2.71	3.35

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

E 3-1

SECTION 3 - HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

SUBWATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS 3.3

A total of 27 subwatersheds were delineated in the Hoffman Drainageway study area. The subwatershed identification and locations are displayed in Figure B-2 in Appendix B. The physical characteristics of each subwatershed are described in Table B-1, Subwatershed Characteristics, located in Appendix B.

The 2-foot contour mapping, provided by the District, supplemented with the 2-foot contour mapping from the City of Thornton and Adam's County, were used to identify subwater shed boundaries, flow paths, and slopes for each subwatershed. The subwatersheds range in size from 5.3 acres to 107.6 acres with an average drainage area size of 42.5 acres.

Due to the fact that the watershed is alm ost completely developed, the watershed im perviousness was determined only f or fully developed conditions using zoning f or guidance in und eveloped areas. Aeria l mapping and site visits were used to iden tify levels of existing im perviousness. City of Thornton and Adams County zoning was used to identify areas where approved future development will have a significant effect on the watershed imperviousness.

Eight (8) different categories of im perviousness were identified and range from 30 percent to 100 percent. The watershed imperviousness is shown on Figure B-1 in Appendix B.

the Soil Conservation Service (S CS) were found in the Hoffma n Two (2) soil types identified by Drainageway study area. The m ajority of the basin is classified as hydrological so il classification Type C Soil. Type A soils are found in the upper area of the basin and near the gravel lakes, and in the southwest portion of the study area. For Types C soils, the initial in filtration rate is 3.0 inches per hour (iph), the final infiltration rate is 0.5 ip h, and the infiltration decay constant is 0.0018 (1/sec). For Type A soils, the initial infiltration rate is 5 iph, the final infiltration rate is 1 iph and the decay constant is 0.007 (1/sec). These values are in accordance with District criteria as referenced in the Ru noff Chapter of the Urban Stor m Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM, 2001). The distribution of the soil types can be seen on Figure B-3 in Appendix B.

3.4 HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

The routing elements within this model are storm sewer pipes, streets, and open channels. In locations where storm sewer pipes were modeled, a parallel open channel routing elem ent was modeled to carry excess flows from the pipes. Appendix B provides the physical attributes (width, length, slope, side slope, and Manning's "n") assigned to each conveyance element used in the EPA SWMM m odel. W here available, cross section s for each routing elem ent were developed usin g as-built in formation from channel and storm sewer construction.

In Appendix B Figure B-4, the EPA SWMM Routi ng Map, and Figure B-5, the EPA SWM M Routing Schematic, illustrate the location and connectivity of the drainage system elements. The Routing Map and schematic show where the subwatersh eds connect into the drainag e system and the specific design points defined at these locations. In addition, the rou ting elements illustrate where the runoff is connected to the next downstream design point.

Runoff from subwatershed 35 is co lected in a storm sewer which is routed out of the Hoffma n Drainageway watershed. Flows which exceed the cap acity of the stor m sewer ar e tributary to Hoffm an Drainageway. The storm sewer is modeled in rou ting element 355 which connect s to outfall 351. Flows which exceed the capacity of the storm sewer are modeled in routing element 356.

Mannings "n" values were verified in the field. Pictures illustrating the Manning's "n" values for a sam ple of the conveyance elements are included in Appendix B.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS 3.5

Using the physical subwatershed hydrologic parameters and rainfall information, along with the drainage system conveyance cha racteristics, peak flow rate s were determ ined. Table B-2 in Appendix B provides peak flow rate inform ation at each of the elem ents along the drainageway for the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year event storms.

A summarized input file from the EPA SWMM model is included in Appendix B. Peak flow profiles for Hoffman Mainstem and the Rainbow Split may be found in Charts B-1 and B-2 both located in Appendix B.

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

Hydrographs for the 100-year event storm are shown on Chart B-3 in Appendix B at five (5) different locations along Hoffman Drainageway.

COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS STUDIES 3.6

The flows from the EPA SW MM model were com pared with those supplied by FEMA as part of the FIS study. Upon examining the HEC-2 model that was provided as support for the FIS, it became apparent that there was a discrepancy between the flows in the model and those published in the FIS. For the purposes of this study, the HEC-2 model will be used for comparison, as it appears to have more reasonable flows.

The current model was calibrated to the FEMA HEC-2 model by systema tically varying the subbasin width characteristic within EP A SWMM. The EPA SW MM model was compared to the HEC-2 m odel at two locations: East 88th Avenue and Devonshire Road. The results of the calibration are shown below.

TABLE 3-2

Comparison of 100-Year Peak Flow to Other Studies

Location	FIS	FEMA HEC-2	Moser – Calibrated ^b
88th Avenue	680 (0.8 sq.mi.)	1000 ^a	1069 (0.8 sq.mi.)
Devonshire Boulevard	1240 (1.2 sq.mi.)	1220 ^a	1079 (0.9 sq.mi.)

^a Tributary area unknown

^b Calibration occurred before watershed area was expande

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING **FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION**

EVALUATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES 4.1

Existing drainage facilities within the project area consist of roadways, natural channels, improved channels, overland flow paths, storm sewers, bridges and culvert crossings.

HEC-RAS was used f or the floodplain analysis of all drainage facilities. The cross sections used by HEC-RAS were developed using A utodesk Land Development Desktop a nd were c ut from digital ter rain model data supplied by the District for all cross sections above 88 th Avenue, and 2-foot contour data for cross sections located below 88th Avenue. The additional survey perf ormed by Woolpert Inc. in March of 2007 was used to further refine selected cross sections and to determine structure elevations near the edge of the floodplain. An as-built su rvey for the H.C.C. Subdivision provided by Ed Jennings, PE was used to refine the cross sections in the area south of the concrete plant, between the railroad tracks and Steele Street.

All bridges and culverts were anal yzed using HEC-RAS. The flow through the culvert between Rainbow and Devonshire was also analyzed us ing HY-8 to further refine its flow capacity. The flow through the Lower Clear Creek Canal intersection structure was analyzed using HEC-RAS with topographical information obtained from the Woolpert survey. Detailed HEC-RAS output for this project may be located in Appendix C.

For flows in Hoffman Way above 88th Avenue, the surface flows wer e determined by using SW MM to model the capacity of the underg round storm sewer and route excess flows to the surface. The pipe sizes and inverts for the Hoffman Way storm sewer were obtained from as-built information provided by the City of Thornton, where available.

Manning's "n" values were determined through field obs ervation. Pictures illustrating the Manning's "n" values for a sample of the conveyance elements are included in Appendix B.

A number of different splits flow conditions were identified in the watershed. For each split f low, a new reach was created and n amed. HEC-RAS was used to id entify the locations of the splits and to determ ine the peak flows in e ach split. A schematic showing each split and its associa ted peak flow is shown in Figure 4-1.

Two floodways were defined for Hoff man Drainageway based on a half-foot and one-foot rise in energy grade elevations. The floodways were defined in a manner to keep structures out of the floodway delineation where possible. In locations where the flow is channelized in the 100-year event and no structures are in the floodplain, the floodway was set equal to the floodplain. The Floodplain and Floodway Data Table can be found in Appendix E.

FLOOD HAZARDS 4.2

The results of the Flood Hazard Area Delineation are located in Appendix D. The FHAD revealed that there are a number of houses and structures (garages, sheds, etc.) located within the 100-year floodplain. • One (1) house is located within the 100-year floodplain on Hoffman Way on the northwest corner of

- Hoffman Way and Ash Court.
- corner of 88th Avenue and Hoffman Way.
- Two (2) houses and one (1) structure are located w ithin the 100-year floodplain on the west corner of Rainbow Avenue and Vine Court.
- Court between McDougal Street and McElwain Boulevard.
- McElwain Boulevard and Devonshire Boulevard.
- located within the 100-year floodplain.
- livestock shelters, and sheds, are located in the floodplain on both sides of Steele Street.

The culvert between Rainbow Avenue and Devonshire Boulevard can only convey approximately 187 cfs of runoff. Excess flows overtop Rainbow Avenue, c ontinue overland along the Rainbow Split and do no t return to the Hoffman Drainageway. In addition, a 185- acre basin which drains to McElwain Boulevard is also tributary to the Rainbow Split, com pounding the problems in this area. The Rainbow Split is a m ajor source of flooding. More than 50 houses and other structures are in the floodplain as a result of this split.

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINIATION

• Four (4) houses and two (2) structures are loca ted within the 100-year fl oodplain on the northwest

• Approximately 13 houses and 13 structures are lo cated within the 100-year floodplain along H ope

• Two (2) houses and one (1) structure are located within the floodplain on the southwest corner of • A large portion of the c oncrete plant on the southwest corner of 86 th Avenue and Steele Street is

• Downstream of the concrete plan t, approximately 29 structures, in cluding buildings, mobile homes,



FLOW CHANGE LOCATIONS

ID REACH SECTION 10-YEAR 50-YEAR 100-YEAR 500-YEAR 100-YEAR 500-YEAR 100-YEAR 500-YEAR 100-YEAR 500-YEAR 100-YEAR 500-YEAR 500-50<	PEAK FLOWS (cfs)												
ID NLEKTI SLOTION Storm Sewer Street Street Storm Sewer Street Street Street Storm Sewer Street	п	PEACH	SECTION	<u>10-Y</u>	<u>EAR</u>	50-YEAR		<u>100-YEAR</u> <u>500-Y</u>		<u>YEAR</u>		REACH	
1 MAINSTEM 12800 87 0 87 54 87 91 87 147 2 MAINSTEM 11675 111 0 166 22 165 65 165 140 3 MAINSTEM 10400 240 29 240 255 240 380 240 580 4 MAINSTEM 9650 256 106 256 410 256 581 256 848 5 MAINSTEM 8600 248 140 248 486 248 676 248 972 21 2 6 MAINSTEM 7660 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 9 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 23 23 24 22 23 25 25 26 11 24 25 26 26 27 25 26 <		<u>NLAOH</u>		Storm Sewer	Street	Storm Sewer	Street	Storm Sewer	Street	Storm Sewer	Street		
2 MAINSTEM 11675 111 0 166 22 165 65 165 140 3 MAINSTEM 10400 240 29 240 255 240 380 240 580 4 MAINSTEM 9650 256 106 256 410 256 581 256 848 5 MAINSTEM 8600 248 140 248 486 248 676 248 972 6 MAINSTEM 7600 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 7 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 23 8 MAINSTEM 7400 187 187 187 187 228 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 27	1	MAINSTEM	12800	87	0	87	54	87	91	87	147	17	RAINBOW
3 MAINSTEM 10400 240 29 240 255 240 380 240 580 4 MAINSTEM 9650 256 106 256 410 256 581 256 848 5 MAINSTEM 8600 248 140 248 486 248 676 248 972 6 MAINSTEM 7660 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 7 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 23 23 8 MAINSTEM 7400 187 187 187 187 228 25 26 26 25 26 26 27 25 26 26 27 25 26 26 27 26 27 28 26 26 27 25 26 26 27 25 26 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 28 27 28 27 28 29 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>MAINSTEM</td><td>11675</td><td>111</td><td>0</td><td>166</td><td>22</td><td>165</td><td>65</td><td>165</td><td>140</td><td>18</td><td>RAINBOW</td></td<>	2	MAINSTEM	11675	111	0	166	22	165	65	165	140	18	RAINBOW
4 MAINSTEM 9650 256 106 256 410 256 581 256 848 5 MAINSTEM 8600 248 140 248 486 248 676 248 972 6 MAINSTEM 760 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 7 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 23 23 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 23 24 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 28 27 26 27 28 27 28 27 28 28 29 28 29 28 29 29 29	3	MAINSTEM	10400	240	29	240	255	240	380	240	580	19	RAINBOW
5 MAINSTEM 8600 248 140 248 486 248 676 248 972 6 MAINSTEM 7600 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 7 MAINSTEM 7400 398 770 972 1283 1283 8 MAINSTEM 7400 187 187 187 187 187 28 9 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 25 26 10 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 27 28 28 29 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 1119 30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	4	MAINSTEM	9650	256	106	256	410	256	581	256	848	20	RAINBOW
6 MAINSTEM 7600 119 275 119 634 119 830 119 1134 7 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 23 23 23 23 24 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 27 27 26 27 26 27 27 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 30 110 30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	5	MAINSTEM	8600	248	140	248	486	248	676	248	972	21	RAINBOW
7 MAINSTEM 7450 398 770 972 1283 8 MAINSTEM 7400 187 187 187 187 24 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 27 215 228 26 26 27 26 27 27 26 27 27 27 28 27 28 27 27 28 27 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 28 29 28 29 28 29 28 29 20 30 110 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100<	6	MAINSTEM	7660	119	275	119	634	119	830	119	1134	22	RAINBOW
8 MAINSTEM 7400 187 128 128 13 192 192 207 215 228 231 29 28 29 28 29 29 30 1119 30 1119 30 1118 30 1118 30 1118 30 1118 30 1118 30 1118 30 1118	7	MAINSTEM	7450	39	98	77	70	97	72	12	83	23	RAINBOW
9 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 25 10 MAINSTEM 3321 199 222 235 253 26 26 27 26 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 30 110 30 100	8	MAINSTEM	7400	18	87	18	37	18	37	18	37	24	HOPE
10 MAINSTEM 3321 199 222 235 253 26 27 11 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 30 1119 30 100 30	9	MAINSTEM	4942	19	92	20	07	2	15	22	28	25	HOPE
11 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 27 12 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 30 111 30	10	MAINSTEM	3321	19	99	22	22	23	35	25	53	26	RAILROAD
12 MAINSTEM 4942 192 207 215 228 28 13 MAINSTEM 1450 231 290 324 372 29 14 RAINBOW 27708 215 585 803 1119 30 INE	11	MAINSTEM	4942	19	92	20)7	2	15	22	28	27	RAILROAD
13 MAINSTEM 1450 231 290 324 372 29 14 RAINBOW 27708 215 585 803 1119 30 INE	12	MAINSTEM	4942	19	92	207		215		228		28	INDUSTRIAI
14 RAINBOW 27708 215 585 803 1119 30 INE	13	MAINSTEM	1450	23	31	290		324		372		29	INDUSTRIAI
	14	RAINBOW	27708	2	15	585		803		1119		30	INDUSTRIAL SC
15 RAINBOW 27708 215 585 803 1119 31 INE	15	RAINBOW	27708	2	15	58	35	80	03	11	19	31	INDUSTRIAL SC
16 RAINBOW 27103 140 140 140 140 32 IRI	16	RAINBOW	27103	14	40	14	40	14	40	14	40	32	IRRIGATION DI

MOSER & associates Engineering720 S. COLORADO BLVD. SUITE 410 S DENVER, CO 80246 PHONE: 303-757-3655 FAX: 303-300-1635	DESIGNED DPD DATE 08/31/07 DRAWN DATE 08/31/07 CHECKED TLP DATE 08/31/07 REVISED DATE	CITY OF THORNTON, ADAMS COUNTY URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT	HOFFMAN DRA FLOOD HAZA DELINEA
--	---	---	--------------------------------------

PEAK FLOWS (cfs)

	<u>SECTION</u>	<u>10-YEAR</u>	<u>50-YEAR</u>	<u>100-YEAR</u>	<u>500-YEAR</u>
	26546	215	585	803	1119
	26095	283	720	980	1359
	26095	283	720	980	1359
	26095	283	720	980	1359
	24761	237	468	593	780
	23713	279	678	919	1268
	23141	298	757	1043	1435
	27103	60	430	648	964
	26546	215	585	803	1119
	26824	150	150	150	150
	26824	150	150	150	150
-	41575	46	252	387	579
-	40942	42	210	326	488
UTH	32171	4	42	61	91
UTH	31250	8	52	76	111
тсн	86	0	36	86	96

INA	G	Ε	W	Ά	١
RD	Α	R	E,	Α	
TIC	N				

The channel is undersized at the Lower Clear Creek Canal at grade crossing. This causes flows to split out of Hoffman Drainageway at this location and sheet flow overland to the northeast. This is the reason for the shallow flooding region on the north side of 86th Avenue, between Steele Street and the South Platte River.

HEC-RAS analys is indicates that a num ber of struct ures do not contain the various storm events. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 4-1

TABLE 4-1

Structure Hydraulic Analysis (Structures Exceeding the Allowable Overtopping Criteria)

Structure	Station	Return Event			
Structure		10-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
88th Avenue Pipe	76+00	Х	Х	Х	Х
Rainbow Avenue Culvert	64+00	Х	Х	Х	Х
Old Welby Road Culvert	48+70				
Railroad Bridge	47+50				
Welby Road Culvert	46+25				
Steele Street	32+60		Х	Х	Х
LCCC Crossing	30+00		Х	Х	Х
Maintenance Road	8+25				
Maintenance Road	2+75				
Pedestrian Trail	2+00	N/A - Overtopped by S. Platte River Backwater			

X = Storm Event Peak Flow Exceeds the allowable overtopping criteria

Additional details in Appendix D

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINIATION

SECTION 5 - REFERENCES

- "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual", Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, 2001. 1.
- "User Manual, EPA SWMM Version 5.0", U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2005. 2.
- "Lower Hoffman Drainageway Conceptual Design", Muller Engineering Company, May 14, 1990 3.
- "Lower Hoffman Drainageway Preliminary Design Memorandum", January 30, 2003 4.
- "Flood Insurance Rate Maps, Adam s County, Co lorado and Incorporated Areas", Federal 5. Emergency Management Agency, August 16 1995
- "FEMA Flood Insurance Study", Federal Emergency Management Agency, August 1995. 6.
- "As-built" drawings for Hoffman Way storm sewer, City of Thornton 7.
- H.C.C Subdivision As-Built Survey, R.W. Bayer & Associates, Inc., October 2006. 8.
- 1974 FEMA HEC-2 Model Output Back-up Data 9.
- "Hoffman Drainageway Baseline Hydrology Report," Moser and Associates, September 2006. 10.
- "Flood Hazard Area Delineation, S outh Platte River, Adam s County, Colorado.," Camp, Dresser 11. and McKee, April 2005.

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINIATION

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

APPENDIX A – Meeting Minutes



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date: August 1, 2006 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Board Room Location: Distributions: Attendees

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Gene Potter	City of Thornton	gene.potter@cityofthornton.net
Matthew Pacheco	LCCDC&CADC	matthew.pacheco@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	bnajjar@co.adams.co.us
Jessica Murphy	Adams County	jmurphy@co.adams.co.us
Rick Moser	Moser & Associates	moser@moser-eng.com
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	<u>delagarza@moser-eng.com</u>

Ι. Purpose

The purpose of this meeting was to kickoff the project study, to obtain input from the project sponsors and interested parties, and to describe information collected to date.

Schedule Ш.

The notice to proceed was on July 17^{th,} 2006. The Alternatives Analysis & FHAD are scheduled to be complete on December 1, 2006. The conceptual design report is scheduled to be complete on June 1, 2007.

DESCRIPTION	DATE
Notice to Proceed	July 17, 2006
Baseline Hydrology Report	September 1, 2006
Draft Alternatives Report	September 29, 2006
Draft FHAD Report	September 29, 2006
Final Alternatives Report	December 1, 2006
Final FHAD Report	December 1, 2006
Draft Conceptual Design Report	March 30, 2007
Final Conceptual Design Report	June 1, 2007

Information Gathered and Existing Reports III.

Ben Urbonas has provided mapping for the majority of the Watershed to Moser and Associates. There are several areas along the fringe of the watershed boundary that were not included in the mapping. The City of Thornton will provide GIS mapping to cover these areas and supplement the mapping provided by the District. The GIS mapping should include 2-foot contours (1998) as well as aerial photography (2004).

Moser & Associates has obtained FEMA data for Hoffman (Northfield Creek).

The City of Thornton provided a storm sewer map of the watershed at the meeting.

Additional Information Needed IV.

The City of Thornton will provide Moser and Associates GIS mapping of the watershed as discussed above.

Drainage Reports and any as-built drawings still need to be obtained for the Hoffman Street Storm Sewer.

V. Study Watershed

Ben Urbonas gave Moser and Associates direction to only model the future land use condition, as the watershed is almost fully developed. There are only three relatively small locations in the watershed that are expected to be developed in the future:

- The undeveloped area at the north end of the watershed will be developed commercial. Thornton has a requirement of a 20 percent landscaping and therefore an 80% impervious value will be used for future development.
- The undeveloped lot between Devonshire Street and Rainbow Street will be assumed to be developed as high-density residential housing.
- The existing "Urban Farmer Nursery" will be assumed to be developed commercial (80% imperviousness.)

The "High Point" development is a 6 to 7 acre area in the northwest corner of the watershed that will be re-routed out of the watershed with future development; however for the purposes of this study it will be included in the watershed delineation.

The existing Union Pacific Railroad Line is planned to become a commuter rail line with the RTD FasTracks project. This project will also include a station at 88th Ave & Welby Rd. RTD will be invited to become involved in this project.

The hydrology for this project will be completed entirely in SWMM. The SWMM model will be calibrated to match FEMA flows at 88th Ave and Devonshire.

There may be an area between the Hoffman watershed and the Niver Creek watershed to the southwest that has not been identified as tributary to either watershed. Moser & Associates will compare the boundary of the Niver Creek watershed (from the Niver Creek OSP) to the Hoffman watershed boundary and account for any discrepancy.

Problem Areas VI.

There are a number of homes in the effective floodplain.

Overtopping has occurred in larger events at Hoffman Way and 88th Ave approximately 2 times in the last 20 to 30 years.

There may be a split flow near Devonshire causing some of the runoff to inundate the railroad tracks or divert into the Niver creek watershed.

The Lower Clear Creek Canal currently intercepts the low flows in Hoffman Gulch. This is not preferred by the canal company and has led to some minor flooding along the canal.

The portion of the drainageway along 86th Avenue is unsafe for vehicles and very tight as far as ROW is concerned. In addition, 86th Avenue will likely be widened to 3 lanes in the future.

Elm Place is a large contributing basin to the Hoffman drainageway and significant flooding has occurred there in the past, however, Flood Hazard Area Delineation and development of alternatives to improve pipe the conveyance for Elm Place are not included in the scope of this study.

There are a number of utilities that will need to be considered during the alternatives analysis. Utility maps will be obtained from the companies early in the alternatives analysis phase. It has been observed that there are exposed utilities in the drainageway that need to be addressed.

The design flows for the Lower Clear Creek Canal and the Colorado Agricultural Ditch are 150 cfs and 80 cfs respectively.

VII. Public Involvement

A website will be maintained by Moser and Associates on the District's server. This website will explain the purpose of the project, the limits of the study, the progress of the work, and provide an avenue for public comment.

A public meeting will be held to address concerns of the homeowners and business owners in the area. The meeting will "Open House" style, with several short presentations. The meeting is tentatively scheduled for Thursday September 14th from 6pm to 8pm. Pete Brezall and Gene Potter indicated that they would look into the availability of the Thornton Civic Center meeting area for this meeting. (Pete has notified after the progress meeting that September 14th is not available and the public meeting was scheduled at Thornton Civic Center for September 11, 2006)

A postcard will be mailed to all homeowners and business owners in the study limits informing them of the project, the website and the public meeting. Jessica Murphy indicated that she would provide names and addresses for the mailing to Ken MacKenzie. Teresa will provide the limits of the watershed to Jessica.

VIII. Next Meeting

and Flood Control District's office.

IX. Action Items

- Moser & Associates will contact the City or Thornton to obtain GIS mapping. •
- Moser & Associates
- •
- Moser & Associates will provide a floodplain boundary to Jessica.
- Mackenzie
- between Rainbow Street and Devonshire Street.

The next progress meeting is scheduled for August 22nd at 1:30 pm at the Urban Drainage

Pete Brezall will provide as-builts of the new CBC near the lower end of the study reach to

Jessica will provide address list to Ken MacKenzie for public meeting by August 9th. Moser & Associates will provide a brief description of the study area location to Ken

Besharah will provide documentation on the 90' existing drainage easement along 86th Avenue. He will also try to provide documentation on the court ruling requiring the pipe



PUBLIC MEETING FOR **HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING SUMMARY**

Date:	September 11, 2006
Location:	Thornton Civic Center Training Room

Attendees:

Name Organization Ken MacKenzie UDFCD Besharah Najjar Adams County Pete Brezall City of Thornton **Rick Moser** Moser & Associates Teresa Patterson Moser & Associates David Delagarza Moser & Associates

Email kam@udfcd.org bnajjar@co.adams.co.us pete.brezall@citvofthornton.net moser@moser-eng.com patterson@moser-eng.com delagarza@moser-eng.com

Public Participants are shown on an attached sheet.

Purpose Ι.

The purpose of this meeting was to inform the public of the scope and objectives of the study and to gather input from the public about problems in the area.

П. Comments

The following is a summary of comments received from the public at the meeting.

- Stormwater backs up at Rainbow and Devonshire and floods houses and yards on Hope Ct. One of the residents noted that the last time a major flood occurred was in July 2004.
- The pipe inlet between Rainbow and Devonshire clogs with debris.
- Flooding occurs between 88th Avenue and Rainbow Drive with some regularity
- 88th Avenue floods and overtops with heavy rains •
- The existing Drainageway is a breeding ground for mosquitoes •
- During heavy rains the water along the Lower Clear Creek Canal backs up from • the intersection with Hoffman Gulch causing flooding of the residences south of 86th Avenue. The ditch company (a representative from the City) will occasionally open the gate at Lower Clear Creek Canal to alleviate the flooding. Several residents voiced that keeping the gate open all of the time would prevent future flooding.
- There are 2 sanitary sewer lines exposed along 86th Avenue between Welby and • Steele Street. A representative from EnCon (pre-cast concrete plant) voiced concerns about flooding on the plant boundary and the exposed utilities in the Hoffman ditch.

Hoffman Drainageway Planning & FHAD Public Meeting Minutes 09/11/06 Page 1 of 2

WE NEED YOUR HELP TO DEVELOP A MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING AND FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION STUDY FOR THE HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY!

The project sponsors listed below are in the process of updating a major drainageway master plan for the Hoffman Drainageway.

The area we are studying is roughly bounded on the west by I-25, on the north by Thornton Pkwy, on the south by 86th Avenue, and on the east by the South Platte River.

We invite you to attend a public meeting that will take place on September 11, 2006 to tell us what flood-related problems you have observed and to provide your input to develop a master plan that best addresses community needs while staying within the resources that may be available to solve them.

Where: Thornton Civic Center Training Room, First Floor 9500 Civic Center Drive, Thornton, CO 80229

When: September 11, 2006 from 6:00 to 8:00 PM



Project Sponsors:

- Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
- Adams County
- City of Thornton



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date:	September 19, 2006
Location:	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Board Room
Distributions:	Concerns Map, Structure Profile, Alternative Screening Matrix, and Alternatives Descriptions

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	bnajjar@co.adams.co.us
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

I. Feedback from Public Meeting

Teresa discussed the feedback revealed at the public meeting held on September 11.

II. Draft Hydrology Report

A brief discussion was held about the draft hydrology report, which was published on September 4th.

III. FHAD

David presented the preliminary cross sections for use in modeling FHAD flows. The discussion acknowledged that the cross sections upstream of 88th Avenue should be placed to account for crown issues at intersection and also have cross sections between them. At 88th Avenue, there should be a cross section upstream of 88th, along 88th at the crown, and then one downstream of 88th.

A discussion was held about how to handle split flows from Hoffman. The flow split begins at Rainbow and therefore a second set of cross sections for the flow split are set up downstream of Rainbow. It is anticipated that the flow overtops Devonshire and the railroad and heads east through the HydroConduit property. It is also anticipated that not all of the flow overtops the railroad, causing another flow split at the railroad.

Ben stated that any water that splits from the main channel needs to be modeled regardless of where it is going. Due to the large area and length of the split flows, this work will require an addendum. Teresa will get a scope and cost estimate for the addendum to Ben as soon as possible.

IV. Alternatives

Teresa presented a preliminary alternatives analysis matrix. A brief discussion was held regarding the viability of the various alternatives considered. It was agreed that the McElwain Blvd basin should be added to the alternatives analysis.

V. Schedule

The project is currently on schedule; however the change of scope will require additional time. The schedule will be adjusted accordingly in the addendum.

VI. Next Meeting

The next progress meeting has been scheduled for Monday, October 16th at 1:30 pm at UDFCD.



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date: October 16, 2006 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Board Room Location: Subwatershed Boundaries, Imperviousness Map, Soils Map, EPA SWMM Distributions: Routing, Preliminary FHAD Map

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	<u>bnajjar@co.adams.co.us</u>
Jessica Stevens	Adams County	<u>Jstevens@co.adams.co.us</u>
Rick Moser	Moser & Associates	moser@moser-eng.com
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

Purpose Ι.

The purpose of the meeting was to present an update of the project progress. The meeting included discussions about the expanded watershed boundary due to the flood flow splits, land-use for these areas, and how the modeling should account for the additional contributing flows. The preliminary 100-year floodplain delineation upstream of Rainbow Avenue was also discussed.

Expanded Watershed Hydrology П.

Teresa presented the additional subbasins that were modeled to define the split flow conditions from Hoffman Drainageway. Ben stated that the flow spilt at the railroad should be modeled in a manner that the floodplain west of the railroad will account for the split flow and the floodplain east of the railroad will assume that all of the water overtops the railroad instead of splitting.

The future land use of the area between Devonshire and the railroad was guestioned. The distributed exhibit shows 80% impervious. Jessica is going to check with the County's planning department to see what it's future plans were and inquire if the trailer home area south of 86th Avenue will remain zoned as designated.

III. FHAD

David presented the preliminary flood hazard area delineation results for the area above Rainbow Drive. While some houses appear to have been removed from the FIRM

delineation, a number of houses have been added around 88th Avenue and Hoffman Way as well as at the intersection with Rainbow Drive.

IV. Alternatives

Teresa discussed the flooding issues along Hoffman Way and at Rainbow Avenue and strategies to address capacity concerns. She also presented that the detention upstream of Devonshire would need to be approximately 50 ac-ft to accommodate existing channel capacities downstream of Devonshire Blvd.

V. Other Items

Ed Jennings, the engineer for the developer of the property south of HydroConduit property, met with Teresa concerning changes to the topography on his client's property. The development of the lot changed the topography and will most likely affect the floodplain delineation that we are doing. It was agreed that if updated mapping is supplied to Moser within 1 month that the topography for the FHAD will be updated to reflect this change.

VI. Schedule

An extension of the schedule was requested to offer more time to develop the FHAD and the Alternatives. The draft FHAD and Alternatives Reports deadline has been moved to December 1.

VII. Upcoming Meetings

at UDFCD.

The next public meeting will be held in late January to early February during the sponsor's Alternatives Report review period to take the public's comments into consideration for the Selected Plan. Pete will check on available dates at the Thornton City Hall.

The next progress meeting has been scheduled for Tuesday, November 14th at 1:30 pm



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date:November 14, 2006Location:Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Confrence RoomDistributions:Alternative 1 Plan (Hoffman and McElwain Detention),
Alternative 2 Plan (Hoffman Dentention),
Alternative 3 Plan (100-year Conveyance, Open Channel),
Alternative 4 Plan (100-year Conveyance, Conduits),
Alternative 5 Plan (Non-Structural Methods),
Watershed Overview, and Estimate of Probable Cost

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	bnajjar@co.adams.co.us
Jessica Stevens	Adams County	Jstevens@co.adams.co.us
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

I. Purpose

The purpose of the meeting was to present an update of the project progress. The meeting included discussions about the preliminary 100-year floodplain delineation and preliminary alternatives.

II. FHAD

David discussed the preliminary FHAD for the basin. While some structures were removed from the floodplain, a significant number of structures were added to the floodplain or are adjacent to the floodplain boundary. Ben requested that all structures that are near the floodplain boundary be surveyed to determine their first floor elevation. This survey will also include enough information to tie in the Jenning's property as-built survey into the study mapping.

III. Alternatives Assessment

Teresa discussed the various alternatives and the associated cost estimates. The alternatives presented include options for incorporating detention along the mainstem of Hoffman Drainageway and also downstream of the McElwain neighborhood. Other alternatives consider conveyance infrastructure with various configurations of open channels and conduits. The fifth alternative considers non-structural methods that may include purchasing residential homes in the floodplain.

It was requested that the open channel alternative along Hoffman Way north of 88th Avenue be reinvestigated for other configurations besides the deep channel with vertical sides. Moser will also add an option for a concrete-lined channel east of Welby Road along 86th Avenue.

IV. Schedule

The schedule will be extended to accommodate acquiring the additional survey for the FHAD. Once Moser obtains the survey results, the next progress meeting will be scheduled.



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date:April 24, 2007Location:Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Conference RoomDistributions:Floodplain Exhibit, Alternative Plans, and Estimate of Probable Cost

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	bnajjar@co.adams.co.us
Jessica Stevens	Adams County	<u>Jstevens@co.adams.co.us</u>
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

I. Purpose

The purpose of the meeting was to present the results of the field survey and its affect on the FHAD and alternatives evaluation.

II. FHAD Progress

Moser received the additional survey of elevations around the residential homes in March and has incorporated this information into the FHAD modeling. David discussed the results and how the floodplain was being modified. Spot elevations from the survey at the corner of the houses near the border of the floodplain were examined to fine tune the location of the 100-year floodplain around the houses.

The survey also tied the Jenning's property as-built on Steele Street into the project mapping. The as-built shows that fill was placed in the flow path which changes the floodplain in this area. The floodplain was revised in this area to reflect this.

III. Alternatives Assessment

Teresa recapped the alternatives that are being evaluated. She presented alternatives that would narrow the floodplain along Hoffman north of 88th Avenue, removing four houses from the floodplain. Teresa will look at additional alternatives at this location to see if there is a more cost effective solution.

Jessica told us that the parcel in the northwest corner of Devonshire Blvd. and McElwain Blvd. may be an area that we could use for improvements. The owner has contacted the County several times to see if would like to purchase the property. Teresa will investigate if

it is feasible to discharge the proposed McElwain improvements through this land instead of east of Devonshire.

IV. Other

It was decided that instead of holding another public meeting, the sponsors would contact those property owners affected by the updated floodplain.

V. Schedule

Moser will get the website updated soon. The draft FHAD and draft Alternatives Evaluation Reports will be submitted by the end of May. Moser will try to submit the FHAD report sooner.



PROGRESS MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING MINUTES

Date: July 11, 2007 Location: Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Conference Room Distributions: none

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ben Urbonas	UDFCD	burbonas@udfcd.org
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	kam@udfcd.org
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Chris Crowley	City of Thornton	chris.crowley@cityofthornton.net
Marques Granderson	City of Thornton	marques.granderson@cityofthornton.net
Matthew Pacheco	LCC Ditch Co.	matthew.pacheco@cityofthornton.net
Besharah Najjar	Adams County	<u>bnajjar@co.adams.co.us</u>
Jessica Stevens	Adams County	<u>Jestevens@co.adams.co.us</u>
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

Purpose Ι.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the Project Sponsors' review comments on the Phase A – Development of Alternate Plans report.

П. **Phase A Report Review Comments**

Ken and Besharah supplied Moser with copies of the report with their review comments; Jessica provided a CD that contained a scan of her comments; and Pete distributed a hard copy of an email that had review comments from City of Thornton and also sent an email with additional City of Thornton comments.

Lower Clear Creek Canal (LCCC) – The figure illustrating the conceptual configuration for separating the Hoffman Channel from the LCCC ditch flows was discussed. Matt stated that the ditch company supported the general idea of the configuration as illustrated in the report, but that they would like to see a waste gate and emergency overflow provided. Moser will add a note to the figure stating that a waste gate and an emergency overflow shall be provided. Moser will also revise the figure to show a rectangular ditch section instead of a trapezoidal section.

88th Avenue & Cross Culvert – We discussed the flooding at issues at the intersection of Hoffman Way and 88th Avenue. Chris Crowley pointed out that the crown of 88th Avenue is much higher than the gutters and asked if lowering in the crown would help alleviate the flooding in that area. The cause of the flooding is water breaking out of the Hoffman

Way street section to the houses which are lower than the curb line. Lowering the crown of 88th has little effect on the flooding upstream.

We also discussed that the culvert under 88th Avenue is a 60" CMP in poor condition and that 88th Avenue is overtopped frequently, including the 10-year event.

It was noted that there are multiple detention facilities in the upper end of the watershed. It was discussed whether accounting for them in the hydrology would affect the peak flows enough to show a benefit and whether their storage could be guaranteed for perpetuity. It is the District's policy that planning studies only recognize facilities under the maintenance and control of the local government to ensure its existence. Moser will experiment with flows near 88th to determine the threshold at which flooding occurs for the one new house within the floodplain boundary.

McElwain Blvd. - The alternatives illustrate discharging the proposed storm sewer east of Devonshire. Adams County requested that for Alternatives 2-4, the outfall flows north to the Hoffman culvert under Devonshire, eliminating one culvert crossing.

86th Avenue Concrete Channel option – Moser will add a discussion in the report that the concrete-lined channel shall be designed in accordance with USDCM Major Drainageway chapter. The report will also note that chain-link fence will be required around the perimeter of the channel and shall be equipped with a complete underdrain system and shall be constructed of reinforced steel.

III. Thornton Water Treatment Plant

The 2007 FHAD (draft currently being reviewed) shows the area north of 86th Avenue and east of the LCCC in a shallow flooding area. The Thornton Water Treatment Plant (WTP) lies within this boundary. City of Thornton is concerned about the flood insurance implications and asked that Moser take a more detailed look at that area. Thornton supplied the as-builts for the WTP grading plan and a CD of additional survey shots in the area. Moser will assess the capacity of the ditch west of the WTP to determine if it has adequate capacity to divert the shallow flood flows around the plant. If additional information is needed. Moser will contact Thornton. Moser will also supply an addendum to Ken for this additional work.

IV. Community Meeting

A community meeting has been scheduled for Thursday, July 19th at the Thornton Civic Center. Residents that could potentially be affected by the FHAD floodplain update were invited to attend this public meeting. Moser will prepare exhibits showing the old and new floodplain lines and the structures affected and an exhibit of the Recommended Plan. Jessica is going to put together some information about obtaining flood insurance.



PUBLIC MEETING FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING & FHAD STUDY MEETING SUMMARY

Date:	July
Location:	Thor

July 19, 2007 Thornton Civic Center Training Room

Attendees:

Name	Organization	Email
Ken MacKenzie	UDFCD	<u>kam@udfcd.org</u>
Jessica Stevens	Adams County	<u>Jestevens@co.adams.co.us</u>
Pete Brezall	City of Thornton	pete.brezall@cityofthornton.net
Marques Granderson	City of Thornton	marques.granderson@cityofthornton.net
Teresa Patterson	Moser & Associates	patterson@moser-eng.com
David Delagarza	Moser & Associates	delagarza@moser-eng.com

Public Participants are shown on an attached sheet.

I. Purpose

The purpose of this meeting was to inform the public of the preliminary results of the Flood Hazard Area Delineation (FHAD) study, present the recommended improvements developed in the Alternatives Analysis phase of the project to address the problem areas, and to gather input from the public concerning the floodplain changes and the drainage improvements.

II. Major Discussion Points

- Ken introduced the team members and briefly described the scope and purpose of the study.
- Ken stated that this study will not, by itself, determine what improvements, if any will be constructed, rather, it will be used as a guide to determine where improvements are needed, how they will be effective, and give the project sponsors a tool to budget for construction of the improvements.
- Ken discussed what it means for a structure or property to be in the floodplain and the flood insurance implications.
- Teresa and David with Moser presented the floodplain results from the preliminary FHAD mapping in detail and compared the results from this FHAD study to the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
- Teresa presented the preliminary design alternatives being considered and discussed and how the improvements would modify drainage patterns and the floodplain.
- The public participants were invited to look at the floodplain and recommended improvement exhibits up close and ask the sponsors and Moser representatives questions.

Hoffman Drainageway Planning & FHAD Public Meeting Minutes 07/19/07 Page 1 of 2

YOU MAY BE AFFECTED BY CHANGES TO THE FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION OF THE HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY

The project sponsors listed below are in the process of a major drainageway master planning study and flood hazard area delineation for the Hoffman Drainageway.

The area we are studying is roughly bounded on the west by I-25, on the north by Thornton Pkwy, on the south by 86th Avenue, and on the east by the South Platte River.

We invite you to attend a public meeting that will take place on **July 19, 2007** to find out how the changes to the Hoffman Drainageway floodplain delineation may affect you and what plans are being formulated as part of the master plan to mitigate the flood hazards we have identified.

FOR INFORMATION OR TO CONTACT A PROJECT SPONSER, GO TO: http://projects.udfcd.org/hoffman/html/contacts.html

<u>Where</u>: Thornton Civic Center Training Room, First Floor 9500 Civic Center Drive, Thornton, CO 80229

<u>When</u>: July 19, 2007 from 6:00 to 8:00 PM

Project Sponsors:

- Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
- Adams County
- City of Thornton
APPENDIX B - Hydrologic Analysis Supporting Documents

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

									[SUBAREAS]	N-Imperv	N-Perv	S-Imperv	S-Perv	PctZero	RouteTo	PctRouted
EPA SWMM	Input File								;;							
	-								10	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
									12	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
[TITLE]									13	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
[OPTIONS]									14	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
FLOW UNITS	CFS								15	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
INFILTRATION	HORTON								16	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
FLOW_ROUTING	KINWAVE								20	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
START_DATE	08/10/2006								21	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
START_TIME	00:00:00								23	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
REPORT_START_DAT									24	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
END DATE	08/10/2006								25	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
END TIME	10:00:00								30	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
SWEEP_START	01/01								31	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
SWEEP_END	12/31								32	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
DRY_DAYS	0								33	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	TMDERVIOUS	33
REPORT_STEP	00:05:00								35	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOU	5 50
WET_STEP	00:15:00								40	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
ROUTING STEP	0:00:00								41	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
ALLOW PONDING	NO								42	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
INERTIAL_DAMPING	PARTIAL								43	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
VARIABLE_STEP	0.75								44	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
LENGTHENING_STEP	0								45	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	PERVIOUS	33
MIN_SURFAREA	0								51	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOU	5 50
NORMAL_FLOW_LIMI	TED NO								52	0.02	0.25	0.08	0.18	0	IMPERVIOUS	5 50
IGNORE_RAINFALL	NO								[INFILTRATION]	MayPata	MinPata	Dogov	Druguino	MawInfil		
[RAINGAGES]	Dela Deal	Course Data	0		G + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	D. i.u			;;	MaxRale	MINRALE	Decay		MaxIIIIII	_	
// ::Name	Type Freq	Catch Source	Name		Station TD	. Rain Unite			10	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
;;	Fieq.								11	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
Adams	VOLUME 0:05	1.0 FILE	"Z∶\UD	FCD					12	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
PLANNING\Hoffman\d	data\SWMM\adams_ra	aingauge.txt" 500	YR I	N					13	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
									15	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
[SUBCATCHMENTS]			metel	Damb		Dent	Guarda	0	16	3.0	0.5	4	7	0		
;;Name	Raingage	Outlet	Area	Imperv	Width	Slope	Length	Pack	20	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
;;									21	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
10	Adams	100	5.31	80	109	2.12	0		22	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
11	Adams	110	67.69	62	516	1.47	0		23	3.0	0.5	6.48	./	0		
12	Adams	120	8.78	80	96	1.33	0		24	3.2 4 1	0.5	6.17 4 30	7	0		
13	Adams	130	15.6	60	452	1.8	0		30	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
14	Adams	140	43.24 18 71	39	234 182	1.01	0		31	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
16	Adams	160	13.3	60	500	0.5	0		32	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
20	Adams	200	19.77	35	307	3.08	0		33	3.8	0.7	4.88	7	0		
21	Adams	210	71.61	40	612	2.91	0		34	4.3	0.8	3.91	7	0		
22	Adams	220	35.28	35	745	3.64	0		35	4.4	0.8	3.74	7	0		
23	Adams	230	39.81	36	784	4.2	0		40	4.00	.92	5.14 6 15	7	0		
24	Adams	240	31.89	40	536	3.44	0		42	3.0	.5	6.48	, 7	0		
25 30	Adams	300	26 63	89	1030 611	3 92	0		43	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
31	Adams	310	22.63	77	238	2.49	0		44	3.0	.5	6.48	7	0		
32	Adams	320	47.55	57	388	2.93	0		45	3.0	0.5	6.48	7	0		
33	Adams	330	64.39	50	1147	5.72	0		50	3.94	.74	4.61	7	0		
34	Adams	340	31.81	57	374	3.95	0		51	3.65	.66	5.2	7	U		
35	Adams	350	42.24	75	578	3.82	0		52	5.0	0.5	0.40	1	U		
40	Adams	400	33.5	93.8	220	0.58	U		[JUNCTIONS]							
41 40	Adams	410 420	32.8 42 1	80 80	921 444	1.05 1.21	0		;;	Invert	Max.	Init.	Surcharge	Ponded		
43	Adams	430	73.8	42	571	2.39	0		;;Name	Elev.	Depth	Depth	Depth	Area		
44	Adams	440	54.2	35	617	2.09	0		;;							
45	Adams	450	57.9	44	450	2.11	0		110	5091.53	0	0	0	0		
50	Adams	500	46.5	80	307	2.28	0		120 120	5125 5150 41	0	0	0	U		
51	Adams	510	65.3	80	503	2.89	0		LJU :Relow 88+h	5150.41	U	U	U	U		
52	Adams	520	19.1	66	411	9.34	0		ABETOM OOCH							

2	45	CIRCULAR	3.5	0	0	0	1		
2	45s	IRREGULAR	HoffmanTy	p 0	0	0	1		
2	55	CIRCULAR	2.75	0	0	0	1		
2	55s	IRREGULAR	HoffmanTy	р 0	0	0	1		
3	05	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
3	15	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
3	25	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
3	35	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
3	45	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
3	55	CIRCULAR	4.5	0	0	0	1		
3	56	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
4	15	DUMMY	0	0	0	0	1		
4	25	TRAPEZOIDAL	3	100	10	10	1		
4	35	TRAPEZOIDAL	2	100	100	100) 1		
4	36	TRAPEZOIDAL	3	2	1	1	1		
4	45	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
4	55	IRREGULAR	48street	0	0	0	1		
5	15	TRAPEZOIDAL	10	2	1	1	1		
5	26	TRAPEZOIDAL	10	2	1	1	1		
5	25	DUMMY	0	0	0	0	1		
4	37	TRAPEZOIDAL	2	100	100	100) 1		
[TR	ANSECTS]								
;;-									
• 1 0	l Stroot								
, 10 NC	014 0.01	1 0 014							
V1	.014 0.01	10	275 5	2 625	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0	0 0
CD	10SLIEEL 2 E _ 20	10	275 0	2.025	5	0.166667 2		.0	26 5
CD	2.5 -20 0 16666667 E0	.5	.373 U	Б	.5	0.100007 Z	5 U	2 5	20.5
GR	0.10000007 50	1.5 0	52.5	. 5	52.025	. 5	55	2.5	73
NC	0.014 0.01	.4 0.014							
X1	HoffmanTyp	17	0.0 8	8	0.0	0.0 0	0.0 0	.0	0.0
GR	4 -20	2	.375 1	.5	.5	1.41666667	2.5	0.937	26.5
GR	.770 28.5	1.270	28.625 1	.270	29.0	1.770 4	4 1	.270	59.0
GR	1.270 59.3	.770	59.5 0	.937	61.5	1.416667 8	5.5 1	.5	87.5
GR	2.0 87.6	25 4	108						

[REPORT] INPUT NO CONTROLS NO

[TAGS]

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

150	5190	0	0 0	0				2	15	210	200	1000	.014	0	0	0
160 300	5155 5268	0 0	0 0 0 0	0				0 2	15s	210	200	1000	.02	10	7	0
310	5301	0	0 0	0				0	25	220	210	1250	014	0	0	0
330	5308	0	0 0	0				0	25	220	210	1350	.014	U	0	U
340 410	5354.3 5108	0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0				2	25s	220	210	1350	.02	9.75	10	0
420	5116	0	0 0	0				2	35	230	220	743	.014	0	2	0
440	5194	0	0 0	0				2	35s	230	220	743	.02	9.95	9.75	0
450 510	5224 5122	0 0	0 0 0 0	0				0 2	45	240	230	1216	.014	0	1.4	0
[OUTFALLS]								0	45s	240	230	1216	.02	6.99	9.95	0
;; ::Namo	Invert	Outfall	Stage/Table	Tide				0	55	250	240	1070	014	0	0.2	0
;;		туре						0	55	250	240	1272	.014	0	0.2	0
100 351	5071.0 5362.8	FREE FREE		NO NO				2	558	250	240	1272	.02	6.96	6.99	0
400 500	5080 5118	FREE		NO NO				3	05	300	220	2000	.02	0	0	0
	0110	1102						3	15	310	300	2000	.02	0	0	0
[DIVIDERS] ;;	Invert	Diverted	Divider					3	25	320	230	1500	.02	0	0	0
;;Name ;;	Elev.	Link	Туре	Parameters				0	35	330	320	2000	.02	0	0	0
140 ;Hoffman Way	5169.88 at 88th	1450	CUTOFF	181 0	0	0	0	0	45	340	330	2000	.02	0	0	0
200 :Hoffman Way	5191 at Pore Blyd	205s	OVERFLOW	0 0	0	0		0	55	350	351	1000	014	0	0	0
210	5200	215s	OVERFLOW	0 0	0	0		0	55	350	220	1000	.014	0	0	0
;Hoffman Way ;Sta. 20+65	at 9th Ave							د 0	56	350	330	2500	.02	0	0	0
220 ;Hoffman Way	5212.95 at Elm Pl.	225s	OVERFLOW	0 0	0	0		4	15	410	400	850	0.04	0	0	0
;Sta. 28+26 230	5235 65	235g	OVERTION	0 0	0	0		4	25	420	410	2100	0.04	0	0	0
;Hoffman Way	at Eppinger Blv	7d.	OVERT LOW	0 0	0	Ŭ		4	35	430	431	1350	0.035	0	0	0
240 240	5269.71	245s	OVERFLOW	0 0	0	0		4	36	430	520	400	.04	0	0	0
;Hoffman Way ;Sta. 51+48	at Dorthy Pl.							0 4	45	440	430	3800	0.02	0	0	0
250 350	5303.94 5372.8	255s 356	OVERFLOW OVERFLOW	0 0	0	0		0 4	55	450	440	3000	0.02	0	0	0
430	5148	435	CUTOFF	0 0	0	0	0	0	15	510	500	600	0.045	0	0	0
520	2722	520	COTOFF	1000 0	0	0	0	0	15	510	500	000	0.045	0	0	0
[CONDUITS];;	Inlet	Out	let	Manning	Inlet	Outlet	Init.	5	26	520	510	1800	0.045	0	0	0
Maximum ;;Name	Node	Node	e Len	nath N	Height	Height	Flow	5	25	520	431	400	0.04	0	0	0
Flow ::								0	37	431	420	1350	0.04	0	0	0
115		100	1 2 2	0.0	0	0	0	C VC	EGETONG							
0	110	100	132	.04	U	U	U	;;1	ink	Туре	Geoml Geom2	Geom3	Geom4	Barrels		
125 0	120	110	170	.04	0	0	0	;;- 1	 15	TRAPEZOIDAL	8 6	3	3	1		
135 0	130	120	185	.05	0	0	0	1	25 35	TRAPEZOIDAL TRAPEZOIDAL	8 6 8 6	3 4	3 4	1 1		
145	140	130	140	.02	0	0	0	1	45	CIRCULAR	5 0	0	0	2		
1450	140	430	140	.045	6.5	11.59	0	1	450 55	TRAPEZOIDAL TRAPEZOIDAL	5 20 10 5	10 4	10 4	1		
0 155	150	140	110	.04	0	0	0	1	65 05	DUMMY CIRCULAR	0 0 5 0	0 0	0 0	1 1		
0 165	160	430	400) 0.01	0	0	0	2	05s 15	IRREGULAR CIRCULAR	HoffmanTyp 0 5 0	0 0	0 0	1 1		
0	200	150	100	0.001	ů O	õ	°	2	15s	IRREGULAR	HoffmanTyp 0	0	0	- 1		
205 0	200	120	150	0.025	U	U	U	2	25 25s	IRREGULAR	5 U HoffmanTyp O	0	0	1		
205s 0	200	150	150	.02	7	6	0	2	35 35s	CIRCULAR IRREGULAR	4 0 HoffmanTyp 0	0 0	0 0	1 1		

EPA SWMM (Dutput File	(100-Year)
------------	-------------	---------------------

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.0 (Build 5.0.011) _____

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Analysis Options

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Flow Units CFS		
Infiltration Method HORTO	ON	
Flow Routing Method KINWA	AVE	
Starting Date AUG-2	LO-2006 00:00:00	
Ending Date AUG-2	LO-2006 10:00:00	
Antecedent Dry Days 0.0		
Report Time Step 00:09	5:00	
Wet Time Step 00:19	5:00	
Dry Time Step 01:00):00	
Routing Time Step 10.00) sec	

Rainfall File Summary

Station	First	Last	Recording	Periods	Periods	Periods
ID	Date	Date	Frequency	w/Rain	Missing	Malfunc.
100YR	AUG-10-2006	AUG-10-2006	5 min	25	0	0

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	acre-feet	inches
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Total Precipitation	282.441	3.120
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	98.244	1.085
Surface Runoff	180.639	1.995
Final Surface Storage	5.180	0.057
Continuity Error (%)	-0.574	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	acre-feet	Mgallons
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dry Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	180.654	58.869
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.000	0.000

External Outflow	181.932	59.285
Surface Flooding	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.297	0.097
Continuity Error (%)	-0.872	

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Peak	Runoff
	Precip	Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	Runoff	Coeff
Subcatchment	in	in 	in	in	in	CFS	
10	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.452	2.629	16.468	0.843
11	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.155	1.918	94.185	0.615
12	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.409	2.641	22.513	0.847
13	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.853	2.244	41.922	0.719
14	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.931	1.157	35.846	0.371
15	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.402	1.695	30.689	0.543
16	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.019	2.074	30.317	0.665
20	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.529	1.579	32.761	0.506
21	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.606	1.494	100.857	0.479
22	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.409	1.702	67.848	0.545
23	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.385	1.725	78.071	0.553
24	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.393	1.713	60.125	0.549
25	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.807	2.285	177.930	0.732
30	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.240	2.843	113.909	0.911
31	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.459	2.604	66.447	0.834
32	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.990	2.094	110.271	0.671
33	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.325	1.777	152.588	0.570
34	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.185	1.901	87.192	0.609
35	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.662	2.408	145.590	0.772
40	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.180	2.766	51.641	0.887
41	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.361	2.711	134.047	0.869
42	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.412	2.636	105.127	0.845
43	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.618	1.478	98.053	0.474
44	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.694	1.410	73.236	0.452
45	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.584	1.510	76.696	0.484
50	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.524	2.515	102.024	0.806
51	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.476	2.574	169.789	0.825
52	3.120	0.000	0.000	0.577	2.515	88.161	0.806
System	3.120	0.000	0.000	1.085	1.995	2314.061	0.640

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *									
Node Depth Summary									
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *									
		Average	Maximum	Maximum	Time of	Max M	ax Vol.	Total	
		Depth	Depth	HGL	Occurre	ence	Ponded	Minutes	
Node	Туре	Feet	Feet	Feet	days hr:	min	acre-in	Flooded	
110	JUNCTION	0.89	3.08	5094.61	0 00	:50	0	0	
120	JUNCTION	0.83	2.70	5127.70	0 00	:48	0	0	
130	JUNCTION	0.83	2.73	5153.14	0 00	:40	0	0	
150	JUNCTION	6.17	7.40	5197.40	0 00	:46	0	0	
160	JUNCTION	0.00	0.00	5155.00	0 00	:00	0	0	
300	JUNCTION	0.21	0.75	5268.75	0 00	:42	0	0	
310	JUNCTION	0.19	0.62	5301.62	0 00	:40	0	0	
320	JUNCTION	0.27	0.97	5268.17	0 00	:41	0	0	
330	JUNCTION	0.21	0.85	5308.85	0 00	:40	0	0	
340	JUNCTION	0.16	0.64	5354.94	0 00	:40	0	0	
410	JUNCTION	0.38	2.31	5110.31	0 01	:10	0	0	
420	JUNCTION	0.37	2.38	5118.38	0 01	:02	0	0	
431	JUNCTION	0.20	1.28	5133.28	0 00	:56	0	0	
440	JUNCTION	0.27	0.77	5194.77	0 00	:47	0	0	
450	JUNCTION	0.23	0.69	5224.69	0 00	:40	0	0	
510	JUNCTION	1.06	5.16	5127.16	0 00	:40	0	0	
100	OUTFALL	0.89	3.07	5074.07	0 00	:52	0	0	
351	OUTFALL	0.42	3.04	5365.84	0 00	:40	0	0	
400	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	5080.00	0 00	:00	0	0	
500	OUTFALL	1.06	5.13	5123.13	0 00	:42	0	0	
140	DIVIDER	6.78	9.50	5179.38	0 00	:48	0	0	
200	DIVIDER	7.17	8.40	5199.40	0 00	:46	0	0	
210	DIVIDER	10.12	11.32	5211.32	0 00	:45	0	0	
220	DIVIDER	9.85	11.06	5224.01	0 00	:43	0	0	
230	DIVIDER	10.01	10.89	5246.54	0 00	:42	0	0	
240	DIVIDER	7.02	7.62	5277.33	0 00	:41	0	0	
250	DIVIDER	6.99	7.60	5311.54	0 00	:35	0	0	
350	DIVIDER	0.42	3.04	5375.84	0 00	:40	0	0	
430	DIVIDER	11.89	14.56	5162.56	0 00	:51	0	0	
520		0 00	0 00	5133 00	0 00	:00	0	0 0	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Node Flow Summary * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Maximum	Maximum		Maximum	
Lateral	Total	Time of Max	Flooding	Time of Max
Inflow	Inflow	Occurrence	Overflow	Occurrence

de	Туре	CFS	CFS	days	hr:min	CFS	days hr:min
0	JUNCTION	94.19	326.05	0	00:50	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	22.51	236.76	0	00:47	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	41.92	222.77	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	30.69	974.80	0	00:46	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	30.32	30.32	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	113.91	167.30	0	00:42	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	66.45	66.45	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	110.27	327.40	0	00:41	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	152.59	223.52	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	87.19	87.19	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	134.05	1060.72	0	01:10	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	105.13	1069.11	0	01:02	0.00	
1	JUNCTION	0.00	1026.16	0	00:56	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	73.24	125.14	0	00:47	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	76.70	76.70	0	00:40	0.00	
0	JUNCTION	169.79	169.79	0	00:40	0.00	
0	OUTFALL	16.47	338.27	0	00:52	0.00	
1	OUTFALL	0.00	145.47	0	00:40	0.00	
0	OUTFALL	51.64	1109.41	0	01:10	0.00	
0	OUTFALL	102.02	269.58	0	00:42	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	35.85	1006.61	0	00:48	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	32.76	948.41	0	00:46	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	100.86	924.93	0	00:45	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	67.85	840.42	0	00:43	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	78.07	621.40	0	00:42	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	60.13	233.14	0	00:40	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	177.93	177.93	0	00:35	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	145.59	145.59	0	00:40	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	98.05	1024.05	0	00:51	0.00	
0	DIVIDER	88.16	88.16	0	00:35	0.00	

utfall Loading Summary

	Flow	Avg.	Max.
	Freq.	Flow	Flow
Outfall Node	Pcnt.	CFS	CFS
100	98.33	63.44	338.27
351	97.64	10.48	145.47
400	98.33	120.75	1109.41
500	98.33	29.22	269.58
System	98.16	223.89	1656.43

Link Flow Summary

		Maximum	Time	of Max	Maximum	Max/	Max/	Total
		Flow	Occu	arrence	Velocity	Full	Full	Minutes
Link	Туре	CFS	days	hr:min	ft/sec	Flow	Depth	Surcharged
115	CONDUIT	325.09	0	00:52	6.97	0.11	0.38	0
125	CONDUIT	235.71	0	00:51	7.00	0.07	0.31	0
135	CONDUIT	215.79	0	00:48	4.79	0.08	0.34	0
145	CONDUIT	181.52	0	02:11	10.01	0.45	0.47	0
1450	CONDUIT	807.11	0	00:51	5.56	0.31	0.59	0
155	CONDUIT	971.58	0	00:48	9.26	0.14	0.45	0
165	DUMMY	30.32	0	00:40				
205	CONDUIT	119.42	0	00:38	6.58	1.08	0.95	114
205s	CHANNEL	828.94	0	00:46	13.56	0.15	0.43	0
215	CONDUIT	247.86	0	01:44	13.72	1.08	0.95	73
215s	CHANNEL	675.21	0	00:46	26.59	0.13	0.41	0
225	CONDUIT	255.81	0	01:08	14.23	1.08	0.95	64
225s	CHANNEL	580.11	0	00:45	24.01	0.12	0.40	0
235	CONDUIT	240.44	0	00:46	20.80	1.08	0.95	53
235s	CHANNEL	380.33	0	00:43	32.91	0.04	0.29	0
245	CONDUIT	165.42	0	00:57	18.68	1.08	0.95	24
245s	CHANNEL	65.22	0	00:43	30.01	0.01	0.18	0
255	CONDUIT	86.83	0	01:08	15.87	1.08	0.95	39
255s	CHANNEL	90.53	0	00:41	26.06	0.01	0.20	0
305	CHANNEL	165.37	0	00:44	8.53	0.05	0.30	0
315	CHANNEL	62.89	0	00:46	5.27	0.02	0.24	0
325	CHANNEL	326.05	0	00:43	10.00	0.10	0.39	0
335	CHANNEL	221.20	0	00:42	8.68	0.07	0.34	0
345	CHANNEL	85.19	0	00:42	6.59	0.03	0.25	0
355	CONDUIT	145.47	0	00:40	12.87	0.80	0.67	0
356	CHANNEL	0.00	0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
415	DUMMY	1060.72	0	01:10				
425	CONDUIT	1011.48	0	01:10	3.67	0.63	0.76	0
435	CONDUIT	991.67	0	00:56	3.95	0.32	0.59	0
436	CONDUIT	0.00	0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
445	CHANNEL	119.57	0	00:56	5.91	0.05	0.30	0
455	CHANNEL	67.69	0	00:50	4.53	0.03	0.27	0
515	CONDUIT	167.78	0	00:42	4.60	0.21	0.51	0
526	CONDUIT	0.00	0	00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
525	DUMMY	88.16	0	00:35				
437	CONDUIT	992.50	0	01:02	3.59	0.36	0.63	0

F	U	-

Highest Flow Instability Indexes Link 155 (1) Link 235 (1) Link 315 (1) Link 215 (1) Link 125 (1)

Routing Time Step Summary	

Minimum Time Step :	10.00 sec
Average Time Step :	10.00 sec
Maximum Time Step :	10.00 sec
Percent in Steady State :	0.00
Average Iterations per Step :	1.10

Analysis begun on: Thu Oct 11 13:42:07 2007 Analysis ended on: Thu Oct 11 13:42:07 2007 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

								Infiltra	ation
				Impervious		Percent	Initial	Final	Decay Coefficie
Basin ID	Area (ac.)	Width (ft)	Slope (%)	(%)	Subarea Routing	Routed	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(1/sec)
10	5.31	109	2.12	80	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
11	67.69	516	1.47	62	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
12	8.78	96	1.33	80	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.00	0.50	6.48
13	15.37	253	2.26	64	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
14	43.24	234	1.01	39	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
15	18.71	182	3.08	46	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
20	19.77	307	3.08	35	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
21	71.61	612	2.91	40	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
22	35.28	745	3.64	35	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
23	39.81	784	4.20	36	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
24	31.89	536	3.44	40	PERVIOUS	33	3.16	0.54	6.17
25	54.87	1030	7.72	72	PERVIOUS	33	4.10	0.78	4.30
30	26.63	611	3.92	89	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
31	22.63	238	2.49	77	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.00	0.50	6.48
32	47.55	388	2.93	57	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.00	0.50	6.48
33	64.39	1147	5.72	50	PERVIOUS	33	3.81	0.70	4.88
34	31.81	374	3.95	57	IMPERVIOUS	50	4.30	0.82	3.91
35	42.24	578	3.82	75	IMPERVIOUS	50	4.38	0.85	3.74
40	107.57	706	0.58	94	IMPERVIOUS	50	4.68	0.92	3.14
41	32.81	921	1.65	80	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.17	0.54	6.15
42	42.13	444	1.31	80	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.00	0.50	6.48
43	73.76	571	2.39	42	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
44	54.20	617	2.09	35	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
45	57.89	450	2.11	44	PERVIOUS	33	3.00	0.50	6.48
50	46.52	307	2.28	80	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.94	0.74	4.61
51	65.28	503	2.89	80	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.65	0.66	5.2
52	19.06	411	9.34	66	IMPERVIOUS	50	3.00	0.50	6.48

Table B-1 Subwatershed Characteristics

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION



				Table B-2							
		1	F	Peak Flow Summa	ary	//		05 V	50 V	1 4 0 0 1/	1 500
Mainline	Design		Drainage Area	100-Yr Runoff	2-year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500
Sta	Point	Location	(ac)	Volume (ac-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(0
0+00	100	Outfall to S. Platte	213	0.3	207	226	238	274	302	338	3
13+00	110	Maintenance Road	207.7	2.5	206	222	233	267	293	326	3
28+00	120	Steele Street	140	0.6	191	196	200	214	224	237	2
51+00	130	Devonshire Road	131.2	0.6	187	193	197	206	213	223	2
63+00	140	Rainbow Avenue	550.5	1.0	202	336	413	617	797	1007	1
76+00	150	Downstream East 88th Avenue	507.2	0.5	196	323	408	598	772	975	1
76+50	160	Devonshire Road	13.3	0.5	7	11	14	19	24	30	
76+50	200	Upstream East 88th Avenue	488.5	0.4	190	315	399	583	751	948	1
86+50	210	Poze Boulevard	468.7	1.7	185	308	387	570	733	925	1:
96+50	220	East 90th Avenue	397.1	0.8	167	285	358	521	666	840	1
104+00	230	Elm Place	312.6	0.9	126	214	270	387	493	621	8
117+00	240	Eppinger Blvd.	86.8	0.8	56	91	114	147	186	233	3
128+00	250	Dorthy Blvd	54.9	2.4	43	69	86	118	149	178	2
	300	Corona Street	49.2	1.9	35	60	74	107	134	167	2
	310	Washington Street	22.6	1.5	14	24	30	42	53	66	1
	320	Elm Place	186	2.4	72	118	146	206	260	327	4
	330	Washington Street	138.4	1.9	52	83	102	140	178	224	2
	340	High School	31.8	1.6	23	36	43	58	74	87	1
	350	Grant Street	42.2	2.8	37	59	70	94	119	146	1
	351	Grand Street Storm Sewer		-	36	58	70	94	118	145	1
	400	Outfall to S. Platte	816.6	2.2	82	237	339	590	816	1109	1:
	410	Inflow to Gravel Lakes	709	2.3	72	221	319	562	779	1061	1
	420	Rainbow Split at Steele Street	676.2	2.9	69	229	322	574	793	1069	1,
	430	McElwain at Devonshire	634.1	1.9	60	216	307	550	764	1024	1.
	431	Industrial Plant at LCCC Bridge	634.1	-	57	214	306	549	763	1026	1.
	440	McElwain at Rainbow Avenue	112.1	1.1	24	41	51	76	98	125	1
	450	McElwain at Evelyn Court	57.9	1.5	16	27	34	47	60	77	1
	500	Railroad Ditch at Niver Creek	130.9	3.2	57	94	117	170	214	270	3
	510	Railroad Ditch at Culvert	84.4	4.6	36	60	75	106	134	170	2
	520	Railroad Ditch at LCCC Bridge	19.1	1.1	23	38	47	61	75	88	1

500-Year
(cfs)
390
374
256
235
1329
1287
40
1252
1220
1108
820
307
234
217
86
430
294
113
185
198
1513
1451
1466
1413
1414
167
101
354
223
111



Ъ





		£3
NAGEWAY RD AREA TION	SOILS MAP	FIGURE B-3





AVE. AVE. 1200 EAST 88TH / DP 150 RAINBOW DP 140 1000 ELM PLACE DP 230 800 Peak Flow (cfs) 009 DEVONSHIRE ROAD DP 130 BLVD. 400 DD 250 200 ____ X-• ••••• 0 130+00 120+00 110+00 100+00 90+00 80+00 70+00 60+00 50+00 40+00 30+00 Station

Chart B-1 Peak Flow Profile for Hoffman Drainageway Mainstem



Chart B-2 Peak Flow Profile for Hoffman Drainageway Rainbow Split







Mannings "n" Photographs



Segment 115 – Devonshire Road to South Platte River Manning's n=0.04

Segment 205 – Hoffman Way Manning's n=0.020

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C -Hydraulic Analysis Supporting Documents

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK























			Return Event															
				10-Year				50-Year				100-Year				500-Year		
Location	Structure Description	Station	Total Flow (cfs)	Structure Flow (cfs)	Overtopping Flow (cfs)	Max Overtopping Depth (feet)												
88th Avenue Culvert	60" CMP	76+00	397	119	278	0.6	751	119	632	0.8	948	119	829	0.9	1252	119	1133	1.0
Rainbow Avenue Culvert	9.5' Arch CMP	64+00	972	182	788	2.2	1283	183	1100	2.6	398	181	217	1.2	770	182	587	1.9
Old Welby Road Culvert	5.5' CMP	48+70	215	215	-	-	228	228	-	-	192	192	-	-	207	207	-	-
Railroad Bridge	Bridge	47+50	215	-	-	-	228	-	-	-	192	-	-	-	207	-	-	-
Welby Road Culvert	10' CBC	46+25	215	215	-	-	228	228	-	-	192	192	-	-	207	207	-	-
Steele Street	5' CMP	32+60	235	209	26	0.4	253	212	41	0.5	199	199	-	-	222	207	14	0.3
LCCC Crossing	At Grade Channel	30+00	199	199	-	-	222	150	72	0.3	235	149	86	0.3	253	157	96	0.3
Maintenance Road	3-8' x 6' CBC	8+25	324	324	-	-	372	372	-	-	231	231	-	-	290	290	-	-
Maintenance Road	3-8' x 6' CBC	2+75	324	324	-	-	372	372	-	-	231	231	-	-	290	290	-	-
Pedestrian Trail	4' RCP	2+00	324	4	349	2.6	372	4	368	3.6	231	6	225	1.1	290	4	297	2.1

Structure Hydraulic Analysis Details Table

DRAINAGE STRUCTURE PHOTOS FOR HOFFMAN DRAINAGE MAINSTEM



STRUCTURE A



STRUCTURE B




STRUCTURE C





STRUCTURE D







10.JPG STA 46+25 STRUCTURE E – WELBY ROAD

STRUCTURE E



STRUCTURE F





STRUCTURE G





STRUCTURE H



STRUCTURE I



STRUCTURE J



HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY

FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

OCTOBER 2007 STRUCTURE SECTIONS







THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

APPENDIX D – Floodplain and Floodway Data Table

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Floodplain and Floodway Data Table Hoffman Major Drainageway																										
DEFEDENCE		CROSS	THALWEG		PEAK D	ISCHARC	E	WATE			ATION	100-				100 YEAR FLC	ODWAY (0.5' I	EGL)			10	0 YEAR FLO	DODWAY (1.0' I	EGL)		
LOCATION	STATION	SECTION	ELEVATION (FT)	10-YR FLOW	50-YR FLOW	FLOW	FLOW	WSEL	50-YR WSEL	100-YR WSEL	S00-YR WSEL	WIDTH	EGL	WSEL	WIDTH	DIST. LEFT ^a	DIST. RIGHT ^a	AREA	VELOCITY	WSEL	WIDTH	DIST. LEFT ^a	DIST. RIGHT ^a	AREA	VELOCITY	COMMENTS
				(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(F1)	(FT)	(F1)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT/S)	(FT)	(FI)	(FI)	(F1)	(SQ FT)	(FT/S)	
REACH: Mainstem	107 50	40750	5045.00		- 4		4.47	5045 44	5045.04	5040.07	5040.00	00.40	5040.05	5040 07 b	00.40	50.40	00.74	00.47	0.40	5040 07 b	00.40	50.40	00.74	00.47	0.40	
0/3 Einit at Dortiny Bive.	127+58	12758	5315.33	1	54	91	147	5315.44	5315.91	5316.07	5316.22	82.13	5316.25	5316.07 °	82.13	58.42	23.71	26.17	3.48	5316.07 °	82.13	58.42	23.71	26.17	3.48	
	127+08	12708	5313.00	1	54	91	147	5313.78	5314.24	5314.35	5314.51	62.35	5314.56	5314.35	62.35	24.89	37.40	24.88	3.00	5314.35	02.30	24.89	37.40	24.88	3.00	
	120+08	12008	5308.60	1	54	91	147	5308.72	5309.16	5309.30	5309.44	96.02	5309.48	5309.30	74.83	45.64	29.19	20.04	3.42	5309.30	74.83	45.64	29.19	20.04	3.42	
Eppinger Blvd.	116,24	11624	5292.93	1	24	91	147	5293.05	5293.39	5293.49	5293.01	50.92	5293.03	5293.49	50.06	47.91	12.07	20.00	2.10	5293.49	50.92	47.91	12.07	20.00	3.10 2.22	
	112+55	11255	5275.36	1	22	65	140	5275 55	5276.00	5276 35	5276 73	30.20	5276 63	5276 35 ^b	30.20	9.31	20.89	15.54	<i>J</i> 18	5276 35 ^b	30.20	9.31	20.89	15.54	0.00 // 18	
	108+53	10853	5267.00	1	22	65	140	5267 17	5267 58	5267.83	5268.09	76.34	5268.01	5267.83 ^b	76.34	41.23	35 11	19.03	3.42	5267.83 ^b	76.34	41 23	35.11	19.03	3.42	
	104+66	10466	5251.60	1	22	65	140	5251 79	5252 17	5252 43	5252.69	62 41	5252.61	5252 43 ^b	62 41	31.98	30.43	18.89	3 44	5252 43 ^b	62 41	31.98	30.43	18.89	3 44	
Elm Pl.	104+11	10411	5249.70	1	22	65	140	5249.84	5250.17	5250.40	5250.64	72.06	5250.58	5250.40 b	72.06	47.46	24.60	19.44	3.34	5250.40 ^b	72.06	47.46	24.60	19.44	3.34	
	103+53	10353	5246.94	29	255	380	580	5247.36	5248.19	5248.40	5248.68	94.57	5248.82	5248.40 b	94.57	65.82	28.75	76.70	4.95	5248.40 ^b	94.57	65.82	28.75	76.70	4.95	
	101+03	10103	5239.10	29	255	380	580	5239.67	5240.53	5240.76	5241.09	76.63	5241.24	5240.76 ^b	76.63	44.90	31.73	69.90	5.44	5240.76 ^b	76.63	44.90	31.73	69.90	5.44	
	97+02	9702	5228.19	29	255	380	580	5228.87	5230.11	5230.47	5230.94	58.55	5231.03	5230.47 ^b	58.55	15.04	43.51	69.56	5.46	5230.47 ^b	58.55	15.04	43.51	69.56	5.46	
90th Ave.	96+47	9647	5226.54	29	255	380	580	5227.06	5227.78	5228.00	5228.32	90.69	5228.42	5228.00 b	90.69	9.96	80.73	73.38	5.18	5228.00 ^b	90.69	9.96	80.73	73.38	5.18	
	96+03	9603	5224.56	106	410	581	848	5225.80	5226.69	5227.05	5227.57	63.80	5227.78	5227.03	55.83	20.16	35.67	82.93	7.01	5227.05	55.83	20.16	35.67	85.19	6.82	
	93+02	9302	5219.59	106	410	581	848	5220.54	5221.38	5221.75	5222.33	82.12	5222.42	5221.72	57.63	0.52	57.11	84.18	6.90	5221.75	57.63	0.52	57.11	93.12	6.24	
	88+51	8851	5211.20	106	410	581	848	5212.05	5212.67	5212.86	5213.18	96.84	5213.41	5212.86	96.83	57.39	39.44	101.99	5.70	5212.86	96.83	57.39	39.44	101.99	5.70	
Poze Blvd.	85+52	8552	5209.05	140	486	676	972	5210.20	5210.79	5211.02	5211.36	98.74	5211.63	5211.02	98.73	38.61	60.12	112.71	6.00	5211.02	98.73	38.61	60.12	112.71	6.00	
	82+52	8252	5206.17	140	486	676	972	5207.45	5208.18	5208.54	5208.81	146.26	5209.03	5208.44	119.43	52.43	67.00	134.88	5.01	5208.54	119.43	52.43	67.00	151.35	4.47	
	81+27	8127	5203.87	140	486	676	972	5204.96	5205.64	5205.89	5206.31	123.22	5206.48	5205.89	167.15	57.40	109.75	120.58	5.61	5205.89	167.15	57.40	109.75	120.58	5.61	
	80+66	8066	5203.19	140	486	676	972	5203.99	5204.58	5204.81	5205.12	135.12	5205.30	5204.82	116.16	92.79	23.37	117.64	5.75	5204.81	116.16	92.79	23.37	126.10	5.36	
	79+91	7991	5200.78	140	486	676	972	5201.91	5202.64	5202.95	5203.45	76.63	5203.63	5203.13	53.80	30.68	23.12	92.29	7.32	5202.95	53.80	30.68	23.12	108.77	6.21	
	78+61	7861	5199.31	140	486	676	972	5200.09	5200.60	5200.82	5201.12	211.83	5201.34	5201.11	78.32	34.11	44.21	107.96	6.26	5200.82	78.32	34.11	44.21	130.89	5.16	
	76+65	7665	5196.23	275	634	830	1134	5197.49	5197.66	5197.87	5198.12	231.32	5198.40	5197.87	130.38	36.41	93.97	142.09	5.84	5197.87	130.38	36.41	93.97	142.09	5.84	
88th Ave.	76+10	7610	5196.70	275	634	830	1134	5197.33	5197.48	5197.55	5197.65	610.30	5197.75	5197.55 ^b	610.30	511.15	99.15	231.84	3.58	5197.55 ^b	610.30	511.15	99.15	231.84	3.58	
	75+63	7563	5195.18	275	634	830	1134	5196.17	5196.48	5196.56	5196.69	421.70	5196.83	5196.56 ^b	421.69	249.90	171.79	221.92	3.74	5196.56 ^b	421.69	249.90	171.79	221.92	3.74	
	75+35	7535	5193.96	275	634	830	1134	5195.15	5195.38	5195.51	5195.53	322.32	5195.78	5195.51 ^b	322.32	276.40	45.92	213.53	3.89	5195.51 ^b	322.32	276.40	45.92	213.53	3.89	
	74+50	7450	5189.00	398	770	972	1283	5192.18	5193.00	5193.33	5193.64	231.17	5193.87	5193.33 ^b	231.17	175.26	55.91	173.44	5.60	5193.34 ^b	231.17	175.26	55.91	174.18	5.58	
	73+00	7300	5186.37	398	770	972	1283	5190.23	5190.86	5191.13	5191.49	118.30	5191.52	5191.13 ^b	118.20	43.96	74.24	193.24	5.03	5191.03 ^b	118.20	43.96	74.24	182.15	5.34	
	70+00	7000	5181.20	398	770	972	1283	5184.29	5185.16	5185.51	5185.94	71.06	5186.41	5185.51	71.14	30.89	40.25	127.64	7.61	5185.67	71.14	30.89	40.25	139.30	6.98	
	68+00	6800	5177.81	398	770	972	1283	5181.59	5182.58	5182.93	5183.38	100.41	5183.38	5182.64	91.33	58.96	32.37	164.87	5.90	5182.39	91.33	58.96	32.37	142.31	6.83	
	65+75	6575.00	5173.41	398	770	972	1283	5178.87	5179.46	5179.79	5180.22	84.44	5180.64	5180.17	84.44	61.96	22.48	174.09	5.58	5180.59	84.44	61.96	22.48	209.14	4.65	
U/S of Rainbow Ave.	64+25	6425.00	5172.58	398	770	972	1283	5178.47	5179.11	5179.40	5179.75	238.12	5179.57	5180.00	200.00	174.18	25.82	314.69	3.09	5180.37	200.00	174.18	25.82	301.74	3.22	
D/S of Devonshire Blvd.	49+42	4942.00	5149.90	192	207	215	228	5153.21	5153.49	5153.63	5153.88	35.04	5153.75	5153.63	35.04	24.76	10.28	78.15	2.75	5153.63	35.04	24.76	10.28	78.15	2.75	
	49+05	4905.00	5147.07	192	207	215	228	5153.09	5153.38	5153.52	5153.77	19.57	5153.64	5153.52	19.57	4.25	15.32	78.12	2.75	5153.52	19.57	4.25	15.32	78.12	2.75	
	48+30	4830.00	5145.84	192	207	215	228	5148.65	5148.84	5149.09	5149.29	24.70	5149.31	5149.09	24.69	15.72	8.97	57.89	3.71	5149.09	24.69	15.72	8.97	57.89	3.71	
Union Pacific Railroad	48+00	4800.00	5145.47	192	207	215	228	5148.60	5148.80	5149.07	5149.27	34.48	5149.16	5149.07	34.48	19.15	15.33	87.79	2.45	5149.07	34.48	19.15	15.33	87.79	2.45	
	47+00	4700.00	5144.35	192	207	215	228	5148.18	5148.43	5148.56	5148.76	25.20	5148.75	5148.56	25.21	13.22	11.99	60.32	3.56	5148.56	25.21	13.22	11.99	60.32	3.56	
\\/_lby_Dl	46+43	4643.00	5142.55	192	207	215	228	5148.15	5148.39	5148.52	5148.72	20.87	5148.61	5148.52	20.87	13.41	7.46	88.52	2.43	5148.52	20.87	13.41	7.46	88.52	2.43	
vvelby Road	46+03	4603.00	5142.55	192	207	215	228	5144.56	5144.66	5144.99	5145.07	17.80	5145.51	5144.99	17.80	9.01	8.79	37.13	5.79	5144.99	17.80	9.01	8.79	37.13	5.79	
	44+00	4400.00	5139.50	192	207	215	228	5142.58	5142.71	5142.22	5142.30	18.50	5142.75	5142.22	18.50	1.77	10.73	36.73	5.85	5142.22	18.50	1.77	10.73	36.73	5.85	
	39+00	3900.00	5132.65	192	207	215	228	5135.74	5135.92	5136.40	5136.42	87.00	5136.71	5136.40	87.00	26.11	60.89	56.12	3.83	5136.40	87.00	26.11	60.89	56.12	3.83	
	34+25	3425.00	5125.52	192	207	215	228	5132.06	5132.44	5132.56	5132.70	42.88	5132.65	5132.56	42.97	23.95	19.02	90.64	2.37	5132.56	42.97	23.95	19.02	90.64	2.37	
Stoolo St	33+21	3321.00	5123.55	199	222	235	253	5132.02	5132.40	5132.51	5132.65	49.09	5132.57	5132.51	49.19	25.07	24.12	133.92	1.75	5132.51	49.19	25.07	24.12	133.92	1.75	
	32+17	3217.00	5123.18	199	222	235	253	5127.38	5127.26	5127.31	5127.36	7.88	5128.45	5127.38	7.92	5.39	2.53	27.91	8.42	5127.38	7.92	5.39	2.53	27.91	8.42	
	31+82	3182.00	5123.57	199	222	235	253	5127.38	5127.15	5127.22	5127.33	20.00	5127.65	5127.38	20.04	10.12	9.92	47.98	4.90	5127.38	20.04	10.12	9.92	47.98	4.90	
	30+55	3055.00	5121.63	199	222	235	253	5126.84	5126.26	5126.24	5126.22	15.31	5126.62	5126.70	15.58	8.18	7.40	54.78	4.29	5126.70	15.58	0.18	7.40	54.78	4.29	

^aDistance to floodway from the control line looking downstream

^bFloodway is equal to floodplain'

Floodplain and Floodway Data Table Hoffman Major Drainageway																										
REFERENCE	RIVER	CROSS	THALWEG	F	PEAK DI	ISCHARC	ΞE	WATE	R SURFA	CE ELEVATION	100-`	YEAR		1	100 YEAR FLC	ODWAY (0.5' F	GL)			100 YEAR FLOODWAY (1.0' EGL)						
LOCATION	STATION	SECTION	ELEVATION (FT)	10-YR FLOW	50-YR FLOW	100-YR FLOW	500-YR FLOW	10-YR WSEL	50-YR WSEL	100-YR 500-YR WSEL WSEL	FLOOD WIDTH	DPLAIN EGL	WSEL		STA. LEFT ^ª	STA. RIGHT ^ª I			WSEL	I WIDTH I	STA. LEFT ^a	STA. RIGHT ^ª	AREA		COMMENTS	
REACH: Mainstem			(1.1)						_				_	L	-			VELOOITT			-			VELOONIT		
REAGH: Mainstein	30+36	3036.00	5121 20	100	222	235	253	5126.96	5126 45	5126 /6 5126 /8	377 47	5126 50	5126.86	33 10	6.74	26.45	162.69	1 14	5126.86	33 10	674	26.45	162.69	1 11		
LCC Canal	30+10	3010.00	5122.20	100	222	235	253	5125.61	5126.28	5126.32 5126.33	225.45	5126.00	5126.00 b	44.50	36.10	8.40	102.03	5 50	5126.00	44.50	36.10	8.40	102.03	5 50		
	20+00	2000.00	5110.62	100	222	235	200	5123.01	5120.20	5120.32 5120.33	16 20	5120.47	5120.37	44.50	0.11	7.09	42.75	5.30	5120.37	44.50	0.11	7.09	42.75	5.30		
	30+00	2050.00	5110.03	199	222	235	200	5123.23	5123.40	5123.56 5123.74	10.20	5124.02	5123.36	10.19	9.11	7.08	43.90	0.04	5123.30	10.19	9.11	1.00	43.90	0.04		
	29+50	2950.00	5110.02	199	222	235	253	5121.00	5121.00	5121.95 5122.09	21.01	5123.19	5121.95	21.01	0.09	1.09	20.30	6.94	5121.95	21.01	0.09	1.09	20.30	0.94 6.04		
	27+50	2750.00	5113.17	199	222	235	253	5115.06	5115.14	5115.20 5115.26	31.91	5115.80	5115.20	31.91	16.50	15.41	37.08	6.24	5115.20	31.91	16.50	15.41	37.08	0.24		
	24+50	2450.00	5108.40	199	222	235	253	5110.70	5110.82	5110.87 5110.94	29.82	5111.33	5110.87	29.82	15.43	14.39	42.88	5.48	5110.87	29.82	15.43	14.39	42.88	5.48		
	19+50	1950.00	5102.29	199	222	235	253	5103.93	5104.02	5104.07 5104.15	28.69	5104.72	5104.07 °	28.69	18.84	9.85	36.54	6.43	5104.07 °	28.69	18.84	9.85	36.54	6.43		
	14+50	1450.00	5095.70	231	290	324	372	5098.85	5099.16	5099.32 5099.53	37.79	5099.59	5099.32 °	37.79	17.58	20.21	77.52	4.18	5099.32 °	37.79	17.58	20.21	/7.52	4.18		
	9+75	975.00	5092.84	231	290	324	372	5095.19	5095.44	5095.57 5095.75	32.78	5096.29	5095.57 °	32.78	14.43	18.35	47.80	6.78	5095.57 °	32.78	14.43	18.35	47.80	6.78		
	8+70	870.00	5090.02	231	290	324	372	5092.66	5093.03	5093.22 5093.49	33.77	5093.38	5093.22 °	33.77	18.04	15.73	101.83	3.18	5093.22 °	33.77	18.04	15.73	101.83	3.18		
	8+00	800.00	5089.53	231	290	324	372	5092.51	5092.84	5093.01 5093.23	36.49	5093.14	5093.01 °	36.49	19.79	16.70	113.17	2.86	5093.01 °	36.49	19.79	16.70	113.17	2.86		
	7+50	750.00	5089.88	231	290	324	372	5091.74	5092.00	5092.14 5092.32	27.29	5092.93	5092.14	27.29	17.21	10.08	45.43	7.13	5092.14 °	27.29	17.21	10.08	45.43	7.13		
	5+50	550.00	5086.46	231	290	324	372	5089.56	5089.87	5090.02 5090.25	24.37	5090.64	5090.02 ^D	24.37	11.49	12.88	51.37	6.31	5090.02 ^D	24.37	11.49	12.88	51.37	6.31		
	3+50	350	5084.09	231	290	324	372	5087.04	5087.33	5087.49 5087.65	24.30	5088.35	5087.49 ^b	24.30	8.88	15.42	43.39	7.47	5087.49 ^b	24.30	8.88	15.42	43.39	7.47		
	2+95	295	5083.39	231	290	324	372	5085.65	5086.02	5086.22 5086.49	40.73	5086.37	5086.22	40.72	20.52	20.20	101.60	3.19	5086.22 ^D	40.72	20.52	20.20	101.60	3.19		
Access Road	2+37	237	5082.87	231	290	324	372	5084.21	5084.43	5084.54 5084.70	29.55	5085.32	5084.54 ^b	29.55	12.01	17.54	45.68	7.09	5084.54 ^b	29.55	12.01	17.54	45.68	7.09		
	2+30	230	5075.00	231	290	324	372	5082.49	5083.50	5084.00 5085.00	397.57	5084.00	5084.00 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	892.14	0.36	5084.00 ^b	397.57	N/A	N/A	892.14	0.36		
	1+50	150	5074.00	231	290	324	372	5082.50	5083.50	5084.00 5085.00	511.37	5084.00	5084.00 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	2791.46	0.12	5084.00 ^b	511.37	N/A	N/A	2791.46	0.12		
Outfall at S. Platte	0+75	75	5071.35	231	290	324	372	5082.50	5083.50	5084.00 5085.00	525.01	5084.00	5084.00 ^D	N/A	N/A	N/A	4616.71	0.07	5084.00 ^b	525.01	N/A	N/A	4616.71	0.07		
REACH: Rainbow						1		1	1		1		1	1	1				1				1			
Rainbow Ave,	277+49	27749	5175.06	215	585	803	1119	5175.74	5176.16	5176.35 5176.59	352.25	5176.46	5176.77	175.00	138.35	36.65	217.70	3.69	5176.36	175.00	138.35	36.65	301.26	2.67		
	274+50	27450	5170.44	215	585	803	1119	5171.87	5172.58	5172.84 5173.15	121.90	5173.40	5173.14	54.48	16.33	38.15	118.37	6.78	5172.80	54.48	16.33	38.15	139.42	5.76		
	271+45	27145	5165.73	60	430	648	964	5166.98	5168.24	5168.51 5168.82	146.18	5168.81	5168.96	92.23	52.60	39.63	129.45	5.01	5168.75	92.23	52.60	39.63	187.32	3.46		
	269+53	26953	5163.83	60	430	648	964	5164.78	5165.66	5165.89 5166.11	179.23	5166.25	5166.10	99.16	3.80	95.36	106.19	6.10	5166.33	99.16	3.80	95.36	90.66	7.15		
	268+44	26844	5160.36	60	430	648	964	5160.93	5161.79	5162.07 5162.27	331.71	5162.25	5162.52	257.76	2.47	255.29	172.59	3.75	5162.65	257.76	2.47	255.29	351.88	1.84		
	266+74	26674	5160.22	60	430	648	964	5160.23	5160.74	5161.03 5161.25	334.12	5161.14	5161.52	227.00	5.22	221.78	191.42	3.39	5161.63	227.00	5.22	221.78	127.08	5.10		
	265+88	26588	5158.60	215	585	803	1119	5159.11	5159.47	5159.60 5159.77	383.21	5159.97	5159.90	182.76	50.61	132.15	144.87	5.54	5159.60	182.76	50.61	132.15	166.35	4.83		
	264+01	26401	5155.33	215	585	803	1119	5156.11	5156.40	5156.60 5156.85	224.26	5157.08	5156.82	85.00	39.79	45.21	119.40	6.73	5156.60	85.00	39.79	45.21	147.25	5.45		
Devonshire Blvd.	262+45	26245	5154.36	215	585	803	1119	5155.31	5155.87	5156.12 5156.46	281.89	5156.24	5156.63	128.02	44.41	83.61	249.18	3.22	5156.12	128.02	44.41	83.61	305.07	2.63		
	261+61	26161	5151.96	283	720	980	1359	5155.09	5155.73	5156.00 5156.33	363.58	5156.11	5156.44	123.24	47.61	75.63	259.11	3.78	5156.00	123.24	47.61	75.63	372.15	2.63		
	261+13	26113	5151.50	283	720	980	1359	5154.24	5155.08	5155.30 5155.57	264.00	5155.80	5155.38	70.00	38.06	31.94	128.68	7.62	5155.30	70.00	38.06	31.94	173.60	5.65		
	260+74	26074	5151.07	283	720	980	1359	5153.48	5154.37	5154.37 5154.50	314.46	5154.69	5154.68	125.72	28.49	97.23	172.95	5.67	5154.37	125.72	28.49	97.23	218.49	4.49		
Union Pacific Railroad	258+19	25819	5147.20	283	720	980	1359	5148.75	5149.73	5150.55 5151.06	283.59	5151.27	5150.18	47.74	13.52	34.22	112.00	8.75	5150.55	47.74	13.52	34.22	166.05	5.90		
	257+10	25710	5144.37	283	720	980	1359	5147.01	5147.60	5147.86 5148.08	270.51	5148.13	5148.31	135.00	87.29	47.71	176.69	5.55	5147.86	135.00	87.29	47.71	238.60	4.11		
	256+06	25606	5144.70	283	720	980	1359	5145.52	5145.91	5146.09 5146.29	305.80	5146.46	5146.31	110.00	40.47	69.53	147.46	6.65	5146.09	110.00	40.47	69.53	203.68	4.81		
	255+04	25504	5142.50	283	720	980	1359	5143.33	5143.70	5143.85 5144.08	303.47	5144.10	5144.09	120.00	50.27	69.73	165.97	5.90	5143.85	120.00	50.27	69.73	247.10	3.97		
Industrial Flow Split	252+01	25201	5137.00	283	720	980	1359	5138.15	5138.52	5138.67 5138.83	408.46	5138.97	5139.01	221.07	41.48	179.59	194.31	5.04	5138.67	221.07	41.48	179.59	235.23	4.17		
	248+26	24826	5133.21	237	468	593	780	5133.79	5134.01	5134.10 5134.22	290.33	5134.33	5134.27	102.67	36.91	65.76	101.81	5.82	5134.10	102.67	36.91	65.76	153.93	3.85		
	244+93	24493	5128.71	237	468	593	780	5129.56	5129.81	5129.90 5130.08	254.69	5130.10	5130.22	85.06	50.82	34.24	113.91	5.21	5129.90	85.06	50.82	34.24	168.70	3.52		
Steele St.	243+17	24317	5125.45	237	468	593	780	5126.25	5126.54	5126.68 5126.86	188.09	5127.04	5126.83	75.24	40.41	34.83	93.35	6.35	5126.68	75.24	40.41	34.83	131.49	4.51		
	241+38	24138	5123.15	237	468	593	780	5123.97	5124.19	5124.32 5124.43	624.87	5124.47	5124.77	130.00	69.96	60.04	161.00	3.68	5124.32	130.00	69.96	60.04	212.13	2.80		
	240+12	24012	5120.07	237	468	593	780	5122.31	5122.63	5122.70 5122.85	447.54	5122.99	5123.01	187.38	143.66	43.72	121.20	4.89	5122.70	187.38	143.66	43.72	167.25	3.55		
	237+47	23747	5115.86	279	678	919	1268	5116.37	5116.58	5116.68 5116.79	707.31	5116.76	5117.02	271.18	97.21	173.97	272.13	3.38	5116.68	271.18	97.21	173.97	398.67	2.31		
	235+33	23533	5111.90	279	678	919	1268	5112.32	5112.56	5112.64 5112.76	482.71	5112.88	5113.01	190.00	79.63	110.37	170.54	5.39	5112.64	190.00	79.63	110.37	231.45	3.97		
	231+41	23141	5106.00	298	757	1043	1435	5108.15	5108.31	5108.40 5108.51	1198.70	5108.42	5108.40	1286.47	213.18	1073.29	1235.44	0.84	5108.40	1286.47	213.18	1073.29	1235.44	0.84		
Upper Gravel Pond	231+02	23102	5107.48	298	757	1043	1435	5108.07	5108.17	5108.22 5108.29	1376.61	5108.36	5108.22	1376.61	255.68	1120.93	348.48	2.99	5108.22	1376.61	255.68	1120.93	348.48	2.99		

^aDistance to floodway from the control line looking downstream

^bFloodway is equal to floodplain'

Floodplain and Floodway Data Table Hoffman Major Drainageway																										
REFERENCE LOCATION	RIVER STATION	CROSS SECTION	THALWEG ELEVATION	F 10-YR FLOW	PEAK DI 50-YR	ISCHARG 100-YR	E 500-YR	WATE 10-YR WSEI	R SURFA 50-YR WSEI	CE ELEV 100-YR WSEI	ATION 500-YR WSEI	100-` FLOOI WIDTH	YEAR DPLAIN	WSEL	1 I WIDTH I	00 YEAR FL	OODWAY (0.5'	EGL)		WSEI	1 T WIDTH T	00 YEAR FLO	DODWAY (1.0'	EGL)		COMMENTS
REACH: Rainbow			(F1)	1 2011	LOW	1 2011	1 2011	WOLL	WOLL	WOLL	WOLL	WIDTH	LOL	WOLL	WIDTH	OTA: LET T	on. Rom	AREA	VELOCITY	WOLL	WIDTH		on a norm	AREA	VELOCITY	
	230+11	23011	5096.80	298	757	1043	1435	5101.22	5101.38	5101.46	5101.55	1359.75	5101.46	5101.46	1359.74	274.30	1085.44	6271.68	0.17	5101.46	1359.74	274.30	1085.44	6271.68	0.17	
	224+15	22415	5100.80	298	757	1043	1435	5101.15	5101.26	5101.32	5101.38	1578.87	5101.44	5101.32	1718.82	435.06	1283.76	367.11	2.84	5101.32	1718.82	435.06	1283.76	367.11	2.84	
Lower Gravel Pond	221+52	22152	5080.00	298	757	1043	1435	5089.72	5090.05	5090.19	5090.36	2778.85	5090.19	5090.19	2778.85	1747.79	1031.06	27871.57	0.04	5090.19	2778.85	1747.79	1031.06	27871.57	0.04	
Outfall at S. Platte	202+29	20229	5089.00	298	757	1043	1435	5089.57	5089.82	5089.93	5090.04	574.49	5090.17	5089.93	574.49	306.32	268.17	267.89	3.89	5089.93	574.49	306.32	268.17	267.61	3.90	
REACH: Industrial																										
	419+40	41940	5133.19	46	252	387	579	5133.50	5133.88	5134.05	5134.18	291.52	5134.17	5134.24	90.00	37.78	52.22	84.42	4.58	5134.05	90.00	37.78	52.22	138.32	2.80	
	418+18	41818	5128.69	46	252	387	579	5128.94	5129.18	5129.28	5129.41	290.73	5129.48	5129.63	90.00	58.16	31.84	74.34	5.21	5129.28	90.00	58.16	31.84	110.05	3.52	
Industrial South Split	414+65	41465	5123.80	46	252	387	579	5124.73	5125.48	5125.63	5125.80	393.70	5125.69	5125.96	102.00	77.07	24.93	111.17	3.48	5125.63	102.00	77.07	24.93	206.91	1.87	
	412+18	41218	5121.35	42	210	326	488	5122.15	5122.99	5123.26	5123.48	118.71	5123.57	5123.26	118.71	90.62	28.09	73.09	4.46	5123.26	118.71	90.62	28.09	73.09	4.46	
	408+93	40893	5119.00	42	210	326	488	5119.63	5120.41	5120.79	5121.21	182.50	5120.81	5120.74	179.17	125.07	54.10	265.07	1.23	5120.79	179.17	125.07	54.10	272.85	1.19	
Confluence w/ Rainbow	407+53	40753	5118.50	42	210	326	488	5118.75	5119.03	5119.16	5119.31	183.99	5119.39	5119.27	194.58	59.49	135.09	105.11	3.10	5119.16	194.58	59.49	135.09	84.40	3.86	
REACH: Industrial Sout	th																									
	322+96	32296	5119.70	4	42	61	91	5119.93	5120.53	5120.66	5120.83	51.31	5120.71	5121.08	20.00	9.04	10.96	24.58	2.48	5120.66	20.00	9.04	10.96	34.52	1.77	
	321+72	32172	5118.32	4	42	61	91	5118.42	5118.69	5118.77	5118.89	57.05	5118.94	5119.06	17.00	7.74	9.26	12.33	4.95	5118.77	17.00	7.74	9.26	18.38	3.32	
	314+41	31441	5116.00	4	42	61	91	5116.36	5116.50	5116.55	5116.61	580.42	5116.55	5117.19	80.00	38.51	41.49	95.08	0.64	5116.55	80.00	38.51	41.49	277.58	0.22	
Steele St.	314+17	31417	5116.29	8	52	76	111	5116.34	5116.42	5116.46	5116.49	316.10	5116.52	5116.84	36.00	17.23	18.77	18.56	4.09	5116.46	36.00	17.23	18.77	38.65	1.97	
	313+34	31334	5113.21	8	52	76	111	5113.33	5113.66	5113.74	5113.85	151.47	5113.77	5114.26	35.00	16.71	18.29	31.31	2.43	5113.74	35.00	16.71	18.29	57.69	1.32	
Confluence w/ Rainbow	309+50	30950	5110.00	8	52	76	111	5110.09	5110.30	5110.38	5110.44	170.32	5110.48	5110.65	26.00	13.37	12.63	16.83	4.51	5110.38	26.00	13.37	12.63	30.64	2.48	

^aDistance to floodway from the control line looking downstream

^bFloodway is equal to floodplain'

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

APPENDIX E – Flood Map



X (303) 300-1635

SELECT YOUR PRINTER FROM THE PRINTER DROPDOWN MENU. SET THE DESIRED PAPER SIZE USING THE PRINTER "PROPERTIES" M CHOOSE THE "SELECTED GRAPHIC" OPTION UNDER "PRINT RANGE" ELECT "NONE" FROM THE "PAGE SCALING" DROPDOWN MEN

APPENDIX E - FLOOD MAP HOFFMAN DRAINAGEWAY **FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION OCTOBER 2007**





HOFFMAN MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY PLANNING FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

APPENDIX F - Flood Profiles







INSTRUCTIONS TO PRINT AN AREA SMALLER THAN THE FULL PAGE TO SCALE: 1. USING THE "SNAPSHOT" TOOL, SELECT THE DESIRED AREA TO PRINT. CLICK FILE>PRINT. SELECT YOUR PRINTER FROM THE PRINTER DROPDOWN MENU CHOOSE THE "SELECTED GRAPHIC" OPTION UNDER "PRINT RANGE".
 SELECT "NONE" FROM THE "PAGE SCALING" DROPDOWN MENU.
 UNSELECT "CHOOSE PAPER SOURCE BY PDF PAGE SIZE".

8. CLICK "OK" TO PRINT SELECTION.

RAINBOW PROFILE

APPENDIX F - FLOOD PROFILES

ofo)	- 907		5200	0	(ofo) —	C / P			0 (ofo) - 5	07
ft/s)	= 4.99		5190	ž	(ft/s) =	3.59			V (ft/s) = 2	2.72
			5180							
			5170							27749 Ju
							THALWEG	27450		
	2658	26674	26844	26953		27145				RAINBOW SPLIT DIV INDUSTRI
	\sim		5140		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



INDUSTRIAL SOUTH PROFILE

INDUSTRIAL PROFILE

				1			1	5180		1												5180
	••••••							5170		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
																						5170
			0 /	> 700					~ (<i>f</i> -\												
				s) = 326 (s) - 44	2 6				$\frac{1}{2}$	CTS) = . Ft/s) -	287											
	••••••••••	•••••	- (14	5) - 4.4	0			5160	V((, 3) –	2.00				•••••	••••••						5160
							1 2															
							l Là															
							тыт	5150														5150
							525															
							<u>о</u> що															
								5440												==		
								5140												<u> </u>		5140
							NEN+													<u>ل</u> تا.		
							26.98				The TANK									- DAG		
					100 YEAR WSE	EL		5130													ထို	5130
						<u> </u>				E /										Flog(5	
	10 YEA	R WSEL						-													÷	
			<u> </u>					=100 TH	ALWEG											Z R Z	2	
=								5120														5120
	~~~~~																					
	ト				<u> </u>	— 人				人	ト											
3	40893	]			41218	41465		5110		41818	41940											5110
<b>!</b>	$\sim$				$\sim$	$\sim$				$\checkmark$	$\sim$											
															•••••							
	••••••							5100														
								3100														5100
	••••••							5090											<u> </u>			5090
40	800 409	00 4100	0 41	100 41	1200 41300	41400 41	500 4	1600 4170	0 4	800 4	1900 420	000 4:	2100	42200	42300	42400	42500	42600	4270	0 428	<b>300</b> (	4290Õ